

From: Neil Crowther <Neil.Crowther@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 05 November 2020 12:14
To: Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: Transport Assessment

Rep please.

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning

T: 01903 737839
E: neil.crowther@arun.gov.uk



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



From: Angela Broughton [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 November 2020 11:29
To: Neil Crowther <Neil.Crowther@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Transport Assessment

Dear Mr Crowther,

Thank you for your prompt reply to my concerns regarding the applicant's submitted Transport Assessment for this planning application.

I agree that your validation officers would not necessarily have the skills and expertise to assess whether a submitted Transport Assessment fully meets the Council's own validation and information requirements, and that is why it is the role of the Planning Case Officer to read the submitted document and check whether it complies or not. You do acknowledge that *"it will be for planning officers to determine whether what has been submitted is sufficient."*

The purpose of bringing it to your attention was to alert you to the fact that Mr. Davis had not, to my knowledge, requested the applicant amend and re-submit their Transport Assessment so that it does fully comply with your own validation and information requirements, and is therefore sufficient.

Presumably the validation and information requirements are set out to guide applicant's on what submissions are required, and what should be included in those submissions, including the range of impacts that need to be measured and assessed.

It is surely the role of the planning case officer to advise the applicant's that their current Transport Assessment does not meet the Council's clear requirements, and does not provide the necessary information and impact assessment necessary to assist in the determination of the current planning application in line with the planning policies in the Arun Local Plan and the NPPF.

Any previous advice by a relevant highway authority to the applicant that only the impact of the additional 15 houses needs to be assessed is clearly flawed and not in compliance with your own information requirement guidelines, and the Planning Case Officer should be requesting that further information and evidence be supplied so that any Transport Assessment is comprehensive and up to date.

I would be grateful if you could give this further consideration and let me know what you propose to do to enforce your own validation and information compliance requirements.

Regards.

Angela Broughton