

[REDACTED]

From: Simon Davis

Sent: 24 August 2021 10:39

To: Tom Wessely [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Condition 5 ref. Y/97/21/DOC , Condition 6 ref. Y/103/21/DOC, and Condition 9 ref. Y/99/21/DOC

Dear Tom,

As I said to your colleague on Friday, *"I have asked Martyn to provide further comments. We will be working towards determination by the statutory date (06/09) but if Martyn responds sooner then we can determine earlier."* If he responds with a further request then I will forward it on to you.

Condition 9 will be discharged when I am able without any changes required.

The proposals in respect of condition 6 are acceptable but I see we only have it in plan form. The condition requires full details and so I need elevations of all types to show appearance including brick types, colours etc. Please provide this to me by the 3rd September.

Finally, although I acknowledge that condition 6 clearly only requires details of new boundary treatments and we cannot insist of any changes to existing boundaries, we have had a request from one of the neighbouring properties adjoining the southern boundary:

First there is no new hard boundary treatment shown at the southern end of this housing development on drawing 2076-TF-00-00-DR-L-1001_P10, where it connects to my property, which as you know is the Grade 2 Listed Elm Barn. The annotated drawing simply says "Existing wall to be retained".

The current boundary is primarily made up of open Arris Rails and a low wall, and this does not provide any security and privacy to my property from the occupiers of the new houses overlooking my private garden (Plots 1 – 7, 19 – 21 and 36 – 41), or from people using the footpath next to the new road, which in places is just 10 metres from my property.

The applicant should be required to erect a new 2 metre high fence along my property boundary in order to ensure my future security, amenity and privacy. This was not an issue when the adjoining land was private farmland, but certainly is an issue now.

Secondly, the submitted plans and previous drawings show a low timber knee rail all away along the new road to the west and north of my property, but there is no detail provided of this. Should the height and design of this not be specified in more detail?

I assume this timber knee rail is included to overcome my previous objection regarding potential parking on grass verges immediately adjacent to my property. Looking at the submitted drawing, there appears to be a gap on the eastern side of the main entrance to the site which could allow verge parking.

Is this for maintenance access? If so, there should be a locked gate to prevent vehicle access for unauthorised access and parking.

The land adjoining our property slopes towards us and currently the surface water runs into our garden. Our understanding is that the level of the field will be raised at this section, which will make this existing post and rail fencing look absolutely ridiculous and very low.

I would be grateful for your thoughts in response to this, and would also ask that you give consideration to altering/raising the boundary with the neighbouring properties.

Kind Regards.

Simon J Davis MRTPI
Principal Planning Officer
Directorate of Place

T: 01903 737874
E: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to
<https://www1.arun.gov.uk/planning-application-finder>

Arun is a CIL Charging Authority, for more information go to www.arun.gov.uk/cil
Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



From: Tom Wessely [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 August 2021 12:27
To: Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>

[REDACTED]

Subject: Condition 5 ref. Y/97/21/DOC , Condition 6 ref. Y/103/21/DOC, and Condition 9 ref. Y/99/21/DOC

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear Simon,

May I enquire to see whether you have heard from Martyn White re Condition 5?

Please can you also let me know whether you are minded to approve the details submitted to discharge Condition 9 (Energy Supply) and Condition 6 (Hard Boundary Treatments)?

Thank you again for the prompt feedback and subsequent decision notice for Condition 4.

Kind regards,

Tom Wessely BA (Hons) MSc
Planning Consultant
Luken Beck
30 Carlton Crescent, Southampton, Hampshire, SO15 2EW

Luken Beck

MANAGEMENT & DEVELOPMENT PLANNING



On 20 Aug 2021, at 11:15, Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear David,

Thanks. This has now been added to the files and I have asked Martyn to provide further comments. We will be working towards determination by the statutory date (06/09) but if Martyn responds sooner then we can determine earlier.

Kind Regards.

Simon J Davis MRTPI
Principal Planning Officer
Directorate of Place
<[image017.jpg](#)>
T: 01903 737874
E: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Malttravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to <https://www1.arun.gov.uk/planning-application-finder>

Arun is a CIL Charging Authority, for more information go to www.arun.gov.uk/cil
Sign up to our newsletter [here](#) <[image018.jpg](#)> <[image019.jpg](#)> <[image020.png](#)> <[image021.jpg](#)>

From: David Jobbins <[image001.jpg](#)>
Sent: 19 August 2021 13:29
To: Tom Wessely <[image002.jpg](#)> Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>
<[image003.jpg](#)>

Subject: Re: Condition 5 of planning ref. Y/63/19/RES

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Hello Simon,

Further to Tom's e-mail below - please find attached additional details in respect of the proposed timber windows to discharge Condition 5 of Y/63/19/RES.

If you are able to hopefully confirm approval of the details asap that would be most appreciated.

With thanks and best wishes

David

David Jobbins MRTPI | Luken Beck

Director

30 Carlton Crescent,

Southampton

SO15 2EW

