

From: Karl Roberts
Sent: 23 July 2018 16:41
To: 'paul collins'

Subject: RE: Y/91/17OUT & Y/92/17 OUT and SD7 ADLP 2011 - 2031

Dear Mr Collins,

Thank you for your e-mail.

As we are all aware a refusal is something we would wish to avoid if at all possible. Sometimes however, this is not possible.

The Council hasn't yet enacted any decision to refuse the application in the hope that the proposal will be modified so that it accords with the terms of the development plan. We will be reviewing the position again in late August/early September.

Regards
Karl Roberts

Karl Roberts | Director of Place, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF



Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: paul collins [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 July 2018 15:59
To: Karl Roberts; Neil Crowther; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Cllr. Stephen Haymes; Planning.Responses
Subject: Y/91/17OUT & Y/92/17 OUT and SD7 ADLP 2011 - 2031

Dear Mr Roberts

I had noted the attached correspondence dated 21 May (which states had been written under your authority following a meeting with Councillor Haymes) relating to a refusal of both these applications under delegated powers. I now note the correspondence relating to an extension of time until September dated 31 May 2018 (but only uploaded to both files this month) contrary to that correspondence. I also note that the last of this correspondence refers to e mails from yourself to the agents which are not recorded on the file.

Given the e mail exchange with YPC dated 21 May 2018 and the fact that the submitted proposals are now in direct conflict with SD7 and other policies in the ADLP 2011 – 2031 adopted on 18 July 2018 I (like YPC and any other member of the public with an interest) would be entitled to assume that both applications would have been refused by now under delegated powers for those reasons as stated in the e mail exchange dated 21/5/18 and/or in accordance with the adopted ADLP. Therefore in the interests of openness and transparency please can you now explain to all those with an interest why this is not the case and what you now intend to direct officers to do with these applications.

Please place this e mail and any response on both your public files.

Thanks

Paul Collins BA (Hons) DipTP

