

[REDACTED]

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 15 August 2017 09:01
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: WSCC Consultation response regarding Application Y/49/17 OUT

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 14 August 2017 10:44
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: WSCC Consultation response regarding Application Y/49/17 OUT

2nd Highways comments

From: Jamie Brown [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 August 2017 10:41
To: paul collins
Cc: Simon Davis; Dominic Smith
Subject: RE: WSCC Consultation response regarding Application Y/49/17 OUT

Morning Paul,

Thank you for your email. I accept the TRICS survey although would like to see a print out of the survey data and selection parameters to ensure this complies with best practice.

Whilst I appreciate the sites access is existing and has been in place for some time, WSCC's Road Safety Audit (RSA) Policy dictates that a safety audit is required for all 'major' applications when there is an intensification in use of the existing access. 'Major' applications are defined as residential development of 10 units or more.

Whilst I am happy to revise my comments to Simon indicating I am satisfied with the TRICS data (subject to the seeing the survey data and selection parameters) I will not be withdrawing my objection until an RSA has been completed. My manager Dominic Smith is on leave this week so if you wanted to talk further about this requirement he will be back in the office next Monday.

Kind regards,

Jamie

From: paul collins [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 August 2017 11:58
To: Jamie Brown
Cc: 'Simon Davis'; [REDACTED]
Subject: WSCC Consultation response regarding Application Y/49/17 OUT

Dear Jamie

I have just seen your consultation response dated 10 August 2017 in respect of our application Y/49/17 OUT. (Copy attached cc/bcc recipients) on the Council's web file.

As you are aware framework paragraph 32 makes it clear that a Transport Statement is not required for any proposal that does not generate significant amounts of movement. West Sussex County Council and ADC have also informally adopted policies which make it clear that they will not require a Transport Statement for any residential scheme which involves fewer than 50 dwellings because based on the industry standard TRICS database and Census data for the local area such schemes do not generate significant amounts of movement and access issues can therefore be addressed through the mandatory Design and Access Statement.

However in order to be sure on this point we did discuss the levels of movement that a scheme of this kind would generate with independent Highway

Consultants prior to submission and we were advised as follows:

In order to establish the number of person trips that the proposed development could generate, regard has been made to the industry standard TRICS database and Census data for the local area. A summary of the calculations undertaken, which have had regard to methodologies previously accepted by West Sussex County Council in Yapton, is provided below.

Mode	AM	PM	Daily
Rail	3	4	30
Bus	1	1	5
Car/van driver	26	29	241
Car/van passenger	2	2	16
Motorcycle	0	0	2
Pedal Cycle	2	2	14
On foot	3	3	27
Other	1	1	6
TOTAL	37	41	340

The above table demonstrates that the proposed development is anticipated to generate approximately 40 additional trips by all modes of transport in the weekday morning and evening peaks, and approximately 340 movements across a typical day. Of these, circa 70% are likely to relate to vehicle trips. Increases of this magnitude will not lead to any demonstrable harm to the local transport networks, let alone the severe impact that is referred to within the NPPF as being a legitimate reason to resist a proposed development on highways and transportation grounds.

Further it is clear that your response was written without the benefit of having read the attached submission documents on the Council's file which taken together fully explain the access proposals which are not as you have understood them to be in your response.

In view of the foregoing and in order to avoid unnecessary work, delays and confusion for all in respect of the determination of our application by ADC we respectfully request that you withdraw your consultation response dated 10 August 2017 and replace it with one that comments on the correct access proposals as explained in the attached submission documents. The closing date for your substantive response as a statutory consultee on this application is 24 August 2017 so time remains for such a review.

Thanks

Paul Collins BA (Hons) DipTP



This email and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the persons addressed. If it has come to you in error please reply to advise us but you should not read it, copy it, show it to anyone else nor make any other use of its content. West Sussex County Council takes steps to ensure emails and attachments are virus-free but you should carry out your own checks before opening any attachment.