

[REDACTED]

From: paul collins [REDACTED]

Sent: 11 August 2017 12:06

Cc: Simon Davis

Subject: FW: WSCC Consultation response regarding Application Y/49/17 OUT

Importance: High

Dear County Highways Team

I have just received an out of office reply from Jamie Brown to my e mail below. This advises me to contact you in the event that my enquiry is urgent pending his return. My enquiry is urgent so I am forwarding the e mail to you as advised.

Thanks

Paul Collins BA (Hons) DipTP

From: paul collins [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 11:57 AM

Cc: 'Simon Davis' <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>; 'planning.responses@arun.gov.uk' <planning.responses@arun.gov.uk>

Subject: WSCC Consultation response regarding Application Y/49/17 OUT

Dear Jamie

I have just seen your consultation response dated 10 August 2017 in respect of our application Y/49/17 OUT. (Copy attached cc/bcc recipients) on the Council's web file.

As you are aware framework paragraph 32 makes it clear that a Transport Statement is not required for any proposal that does not generate significant amounts of movement. West Sussex County Council and ADC have also informally adopted policies which make it clear that they will not require a Transport Statement for any residential scheme which involves fewer than 50 dwellings because based on the industry standard TRICS database and Census data for the local area such schemes do not generate significant amounts of movement and access issues can therefore be addressed through the mandatory Design and Access Statement.

However in order to be sure on this point we did discuss the levels of movement that a scheme of this kind would generate with independent Highway Consultants prior to submission and we were advised as follows:

In order to establish the number of person trips that the proposed development could generate, regard has been made to the industry standard TRICS database and Census data for the local area. A summary of the calculations undertaken, which have had regard to methodologies previously accepted by West Sussex County Council in Yapton, is provided below.

Mode	AM	PM	Daily
Rail	3	4	30
Bus	1	1	5
Car/van driver	26	29	241
Car/van passenger	2	2	16
Motorcycle	0	0	2
Pedal Cycle	2	2	14
On foot	3	3	27
Other	1	1	6
TOTAL	37	41	340

The above table demonstrates that the proposed development is anticipated to generate approximately 40 additional trips by all modes of transport in the weekday morning and evening peaks, and approximately 340 movements across a typical day. Of these, circa 70% are likely to relate to vehicle trips. Increases of this magnitude will not lead to any demonstrable harm to the local transport networks, let alone the severe impact that is referred to within the NPPF as being a legitimate reason to resist a proposed development on highways and transportation grounds.

Further it is clear that your response was written without the benefit of having read the attached submission documents on the Council's file which taken together fully explain the access proposals which are not as you have understood them to be in your response.

In view of the foregoing and in order to avoid unnecessary work, delays and confusion for all in respect of the determination of our application by ADC we respectfully request that you withdraw your consultation response dated 10 August 2017 and replace it with one that comments on the correct access proposals as explained in the attached submission documents. The closing date for your substantive response as a statutory consultee on this application is 24 August 2017 so time remains for such a review.

Thanks

Paul Collins BA (Hons) DipTP