

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 18 December 2017 12:13
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Application Y/40/17/OUT

objection

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council |
Location: 1st Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 December 2017 12:12
To: Simon Davis
Subject: RE: Application Y/40/17/OUT

Re: Bonhams and Flints

Dear Mr Davis,

Despite the amendment to the outline plan and the reduction of the number of houses proposed, my objections made to the original plans still stand.

Access:

The proposal to introduce 90 + daily vehicle movements at that particular point on Hoe Lane is dangerous.

Vehicular access to Hoe Lane from the A259 roundabout is a problem which residents and those who work in the area have repeatedly stated in their objections. Added to this, the completion of the Flansham to Littlehampton cycle path will lead to an increase in the number of cyclists crossing Hoe Lane just metres away from the proposed access for this development.

The cycle path traverses Hoe Lane immediately after a sharp bend, between the proposed access site and the A259 roundabout exit/entrance. Increased vehicular movements at this point would endanger cyclists, pedestrians and motorists.

In objecting to the previous plans residents submitted photographs which clearly show that the narrowness of the road results in large vehicles mounting the footpath/cycle path right on the crossing site when meeting oncoming traffic. This is a commonplace occurrence.

Further to the long term residential vehicle movements if the proposal were accepted there would be the necessity for large plant vehicles accessing and leaving the proposed site at the same point, causing traffic queueing back to, and onto, the A259 roundabout at peak times.

Proposed Housing:

The houses planned, and the density of building, are totally out of keeping with those of Flansham, several of which are listed buildings. Claims to the contrary by the developer are erroneous.

Over the years the ancient hamlet of Flansham has grown through small scale development and conversions of farm buildings; the proposed development is completely at odds with the site for which it is projected.

This point is noted in the Conservation report.

The proposed development, together with the necessary tree-felling that it would incur, would blur the edges of what is currently a unique survivor in Arun District; a distinct coastal hamlet with an ancient core.

Flansham is a place of local historical value and is used by many in the local community for recreation, exercise and safe cycling; this amenity would be at risk if the proposed development were to be approved

For these reasons, and for those that I have stated in my previous objection of 11th July 2017, I urge you and your fellow planners to reject this revised application.

Yours Sincerely

Mrs J Rogers