

Bronte Welham

From: Nicola Spencer on behalf of Planning
Sent: 15 December 2017 14:18
To: Planning Scanning; Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: I have tried to submit my objection but site will not allow!

Nicola Spencer | Technical Support Manager, Planning Department, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

From:

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

Sent: 15 December 2017 08:33
To: Cllr. John Charles; Cllr. David Edwards; Cllr Richard Bower
Cc: Planning
Subject: I have tried to submit my objection but site will not allow!

I have tried to submit my objection but site will not allow! Please would you ensure it is placed on record.
Thank you

REF Y/40/17/OUT. Proposed housing at Bonhams, Flansham

I think this must be a Development Control committee matter and not delegated.

May I start by say it is understood Arun needs to find more housing however not in this quantity which would be at the loss of the ambience and appearance of this very rural area.

If this area is viewed from above it can be seen that properties are not densely packed in, there is reasonable parking and room to "breath". This should not be seen as an extension of 'Site Six / Blakes Mead'. The property density is a reduction on that originally proposed but the reduction is insufficient to maintain the appearance required in this area. Arun is well aware that this is a special area, a quiet hamlet

It is clear that many trees will be required to be removed (many of which have TPO's in the request pipe line), which after the fiasco of huge tree loss on the Blakes Mead area which destroyed the areas appearance and water drainage properties' that Arun missed that the trees were to be removed and the loss of the trees were realised after and still nearly 2 years later are still trying to resolve the issue of tree loss.

This has clearly been a wet area, where will the suds drainage be placed for these houses? (Flooding has been an issue of the last 10 years)

WSCC do have a tendency to look at the road issues on their computers and view traffic issues a number of scenarios do appear incorrect, Locally we are all aware of the huge issues relating to traffic times on the A259 going east, Felpham to Littlehampton taking some ¾ hour to go some 8 miles and this is unrelated to the cycle track works which extends the time still further! 23 homes will generate a minimum of 50 additional vehicles and related movements on top of the existing large car movements! As more homes are built the traffic issues increase and actually getting to work on time becomes a joke. WSCC highways needs to ensure drastic and heavy contributions are levied on developments to ensure a balance is maintained. Not sit and view the traffic scenarios on computers instead of seeing reality. At many times of the day the air quality in the area must have been affected with vehicle stationary for long periods at this pinch point.

The entrance and egress to the area via Hoe lane had already needed modification due to a much increased traffic flow going east, the scenario when the roundabout was built along with the additional traffic from the new university (a possible 300 vehicles coming through the area for lessons) and other small developments certainly

was not considered at this pinch point, any additional traffic must be considered clearly and openly by WSCC highways and the County Councillors or the area.

What is also greatly apparent are the terrible waiting times already being experienced at the local surgeries. An appointment with a named person could run into many many weeks. The facility is stretched now. There is also an issue with dental and other health related services.

Local schools are at capacity now, the option to build a small school on Site six has still not been taken up by WSCC, and more children will be on this site of various ages. Travelling distances to school will be addition and longer traffic movements.

We as Arun Councillors must say no to over density (it appears 3 to 1 in density) that stretches the local facilities adds to a poorer environment (flooding and air quality) and destroys the area due to the homes being out of keeping with insufficient parking for owners and visitors. Homes not built taking into account of a rural area setting. A line needs drawing and WSCC and its councillors must play their part, many issues are down to them. Arun must reluctantly decline this still clear over density.
I object to this application.

Councillor Paul English
Felpham East Arun District Council