

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 12 July 2017 14:48
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Y/40/17/OUT

Objection

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Arun District Council |
Location: 1st Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Ed Rogers [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 July 2017 11:35
To: Simon Davis
Subject: Y/40/17/OUT

Dear Sir

I would like to add my voice to the growing opposition to the development of 34 dwellings on the site of Bonhams, Hoe Lane (application reference Y/40/17/OUT). This letter serves to outline the grounds for my objection.

- 1) As has been mentioned previously, the relief road was agreed to be the northernmost boundary of any development of site 6. It seems farcical to renege on an agreement that was made in good faith and with willing compromise of the residents of Hoe Lane.
- 2) As someone who regularly walks and cycles in the area (as do many residents in surrounding areas), I can vouch first hand as to the inappropriateness of adding to the burden of traffic at the junction of Hoe Lane and the relief road roundabout. At peak times there is already a queue to leave Hoe Lane and adding a considerable number of new houses would further increase this traffic to intolerable levels. The added danger to cyclists and pedestrians must also be taken into account. It is already a perilous corner that cars often take at high speed. Any increase in traffic would be met with a corresponding increase in danger to vulnerable road users at this junction.
- 3) The nature of the historical hamlet is one of peace and tranquility, something that residents cherish, and one to which local residents escape to whilst walking or cycling to Barnham or to the surrounding fields. This would undoubtedly be at risk both during and after the development of the site. The unique character of Hoe Lane

benefits the surrounding population as well as the Hoe Lane residents and it should be preserved for the benefit of all who reside or visit there.

4) Drainage at the site is terrible, with mud and water often ankle-deep. Any development of the site would lead to an unacceptable flood risk to the area and to surrounding buildings, many of which are listed.

5) The public infrastructure is already under strain from the development at site 6. In particular the local surgery that is already struggling to cope with the new influx of patients. Adding a further group of 100 or more residents would put already strained health, education and transport facilities under undue and unmanageable stress.

I am hopeful that after taking these objections into account, and considering points raised by other residents, the correct decision will be made to reject the planning application outright.

Yours Sincerely

Dr E G Rogers

Flansham Manor
Hoe Lane
Bognor Regis
PO22 8NT