

Bronte Welham

From: Daun Johnson on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 10 July 2017 09:44
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Pallant Homes planning objection

Please upload email to Y/40/17/OUT as rep

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 07 July 2017 15:56
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Pallant Homes planning objection

Objection to Y/40/17/OUT

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Arun District Council |
Location: 1st Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

Sent: 07 July 2017 15:42
To: Simon Davis
Subject: Pallant Homes planning objection

Dear Simon

I am aware of the planning application for the field adjacent to Bonhams, Hoe Lane, Flamsham. Please accept this email, as a resident of Hoe Lane to formally and most strongly object to the proposal by Pallant Homes for the construction of dwellings on land adjacent and to the rear of the property Bonhams in Hoe Lane, FLANSHAM.

The Village is most probably the only Hamlet left in West Sussex and over a wider area and has a unique ambience which has not been disturbed or affected over hundreds of years and was the main reason why my wife and I chose to move there.

Serious regard should be afforded to the following concerns:-

1. The fact is the so-called 'agreed' limit of any development (Site 6), with a boundary on the Felpham side of the Bognor Relief Road (A259), has now been conveniently disregarded. The Bonhams proposal clearly contravenes this undertaking and seriously impacts on Flansham and those close by who make use of the village for recreation.
2. Flansham's unique and essential hamlet existence would be destroyed and even devastated, losing one of West Sussex outstanding Villages.
3. The access/egress to and from Hoe Lane is one of on-going difficulty, and the impact of thirty-nine additional properties with attendant vehicles will cause without doubt an increased risk of accident and likely fatality. This is a very busy road to enter from what is a restricted 'throat' to Hoe Lane. The likelihood of queuing traffic in our lane, or indeed on the roundabout, now being experienced even without doubling the size of Flansham Village and will pose considerable danger not only to motorists but to Cyclists and local walkers also be put in danger.
4. The current driveway at present in (rare) use by Bonhams will in no way prove adequate, should this proposal be

adopted. Site and construction traffic will cause severe disruption and damage and cars (some 80 + based on today's family car numbers) exiting the access will create severe danger, accidents and potential fatalities from cars entering Hoe Lane and leaving Bonhams

5. A very definite flood risk exists here. Surface water gathers in the fields behind my property, with my property being the lowest point in the village and moves from Hoe Lane itself. We have witnessed ankle-deep waters from the roundabout as far as, and beyond, the entrance to our shared drive. This is a continuing problem that has still not been sufficiently rectified.

6. Why, then, should the Bonhams site suddenly be ripe for development? What discussions took place unbeknown to innocent residents, who frankly believed in the Council's word as it's bond. We have received a site plan from Pallant Homes, which suggests much discussion has transpired behind the scenes before allowing local parties the opportunity to consider the situation. We, the affected, it would appear, are deliberately placed on the 'back foot'. Suspiciously, Pallant Homes feedback form was only received on the day that was supposed to be the deadline for its return.

8. Surely, the number of homes constituting Site 6 are more than enough to currently satisfy any obligations the Council might have to Central Government.

9. Hoe Lane property values, already at risk owing to the proximity to the 'new' road, would be further detrimentally affected.

This is an entirely ill-conceived proposal, and an example of total uncaring mis-judgement. It should be rejected forthwith. The development proposal is of great concern to the residents, since we are better placed to foresee the dangers associated with a massive increase of cars into and out of Hoe Lane and we require your comments and assurances urgently.

Steve and Jackie Anthony (sent from my work email address)
The Stables, Hoe Lane.

Steve Anthony
Customer Service Manager

[Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

The Clancy Group | | Horsham | |



This e-mail and any attachments are intended for the addressee only and may contain information which is legally privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication and its attachments is strictly prohibited. Any views or opinions are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of The Clancy Group. Internet communications are not secure, we advise that a virus check should be carried out before opening any attachments

to this mail. This email message has been delivered safely and archived online by Mimecast.
For more information please visit <http://www.mimecast.com>
