



From: paul collins [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 January 2017 17:24
To: David Innes; Neil Crowther; Planning.Responses
[REDACTED]
Subject: Y/1/17/OUT and Y/80/16/OUT

David/Neil/Planning Admin

I note that our application Y/80/16/OUT was advertised with following wordage added by ADC without our consent :

This application is a departure from the development plan, affects the character & appearance of the Church Lane Yapton Conservation Area & affects the setting of a listed building.

This was despite the fact that this was not necessary because none of the site is within the CA and there are no listed buildings abutting or overlooking the site and the previous appeal Inspector and the Secretary of State found that the proposals would not affect the CA or a listed building. (IR Paragraphs 11.27-33 and SoS DL 25 and 26) so I had already requested that the addition be deleted from your records

In respect of Y/1/17/OUT I had noted that originally the application was advertised by ADC with no such addition despite the fact that part of that site is within the Yapton Main Road Conservation Area and there are a number of Listed Buildings (3 in total) which physically abut and overlook the site but that the following has now been added to the advertisement description:

“This application is a Departure from the Development plan & affects the character & appearance of the Yapton (Main Road) Conservation Area”.

Please can you explain why Y/1/17/OUT has not been advertised in the same way as Y/80/16/OUT? Surely at the very least the addition in the case of Y/1/17/OUT (which is applicable in that case unlike that for Y/80/16/OUT) should read the same as Y/80/16/OUT. Obviously if a mistake has been made (and I think it has) please can you arrange for it to be corrected and for the application to be re-advertised with the correct addition as I am sure that ADC does wish to follow the correct advertisement procedures and does not wish to mislead the Yapton Community who will no doubt wish to comment but maybe blissfully unaware of the distinctions between the sites in these regards?

Please place this e mail on both files for future reference if required.

Thanks

Paul Collins



Paul Collins MRTPI



**Phoenix Planning
Consultancy**

*Traditional Values & Modern
Practice*

**Forum House
Stirling Road
Chichester
PO19 7DN**



Chartered Members of the Royal Town Planning Institute (RTPI) are bound by a Code of Professional Conduct which applies to all of their professional activities. The Code of Conduct can be viewed at www.rtpi.org.uk. Professional Indemnity Insurance is provided by HCC International Insurance Company Plc through Perkins Glade Limited. PI Insurance Number RTPI-032498-11693

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential, may be legally privileged and are for the sole use of the intended recipient. Copyright of this e-mail and any accompanying document created by us, is owned by us. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail or any part of it please telephone us immediately on 07760986015 or notify us by e-mail at

pcplanner@btinternet.com. You should not use or disclose to any other person the contents of this e-mail or its attachments (if any), nor take copies. We have taken every reasonable precaution to ensure that any attachment to this e-mail has been swept for viruses, but we cannot accept any liability for any loss or damage sustained as a result of software viruses, and would advise that you carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment. Please also note that e-mails may be falsified so where the content is important you should not rely on its integrity without checking it by telephone