



From: Fiona Fitzgerald
Sent: 17 July 2017 13:55
To: Planning.Responses
Cc: Simon Davis
Subject: Y/15/17/DOC

Dear Simon,

Apologies, it seems I did not send a response to this application.

Condition 6 – I would comment that enhancing the original planting along the southern boundary of the Consolidation Centre in order to provide additional mitigation is **not** likely to be effective. Although planting of trees and shrubs may reduce the perception of noise by creating a visual barrier, published results on the effectiveness of tree and shrub barriers indicate that in some cases, noise can be reduced by 6dB over a distance of 30m where planting is particularly dense. This is not the case in this situation as this depth of planting is not being proposed. Environmental Health have no comments on the discharge of this condition beyond stating that it is very unlikely that this will provide any additional noise mitigation.

Condition 7 – With regard to the management plan, the Public Inquiry held on 3rd September 2015 regarding SLH's application to vary its Goods Vehicle Operator's Licence had a condition stating that "the operating centre shall not be used for the maintenance or washing of authorised vehicles". The management plan states under Other Activity & the Operating Centre that "no **routine** vehicle maintenance will take place at the operating centre". This seems to indicate therefore that ad hoc vehicle maintenance may take place at the Operating Centre which will not be in accordance with the Operators Licence.

Condition 10 – no comments, happy for this condition to be discharged.

Regards

Fiona