

[REDACTED]

From: Michael Ruddock [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 May 2022 10:16
To: Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>
Cc: Daniel Vick <Daniel.Vick@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Y/10/22/PL Land to the south of Ford Lane and East of North End Road

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear Simon and Daniel,

Whilst I appreciate your position, my personal view is that such a use of EoT's is not a flexible approach as it will just lead to multiple applications being submitted to address concerns – the result of this is unnecessary admin for both the applicants and the Council than if you could deal with this via amended plans. I did advise you on 29th March that we were looking at addressing the PROW issue via amended plans (and also the ecology matters), I didn't see any need to confirm again following your email the following day and had hoped that you were happy not to progress the application until you had heard further.

Notwithstanding this, having discussed with the applicants they have instructed me to request that the application is withdrawn. Please take this email as confirmation of this request. I'd imagine we'll have the amended scheme submitted very soon.

Kind regards

Michael Ruddock
Principal Planner

[REDACTED]

Querns Business Centre | Whitworth Rd | Cirencester | GL7 1RT



Expertly Done. [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Our Charity](#) | [Our Website](#)

From: Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>
Sent: 25 May 2022 14:19

© 2006 The Authors
Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)



From: Michael Ruddock [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 May 2022 12:11
To: Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Y/10/22/PL Land to the south of Ford Lane and East of North End Road

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear Simon,

Thank you for your response, but I am a bit confused as to why an EoT will not be entertained in this case. The amendments requested have necessitated substantial amendments to be made to the layouts which you will appreciate is not a quick job as then other consultants need to update their drawings/plans as well. I would be grateful if you could let me know what an 'exceptional circumstance' would be – in this instance, the Council have asked for substantial amendments which we are happy to accommodate, but it is not realistic to expect these to be sorted within the timeframe of the application. This, to me, is entirely what the EoT procedure is set up for, which avoids the need to submit multiple applications just to accommodate amendments but allows us to negotiate during the course of the application and extend timeframes where everyone is struggling with workloads.

It is also pertinent that you have not yet received heritage comments – what if these required amendments? Would we just not be given any opportunity to respond?

The only reason I've not been in contact to update you is due to the time these are taking and that quite simply there was nothing to update you on until this week! The layout is now fixed, it is simply a matter of other consultants updating these drawings so we anticipate these being ready to submit soon.

In light of the above, I would be grateful if you could reconsider and agree to an EoT to accommodate this. The applicants don't want a refusal, so if the answer is no then we will likely withdraw the application, but this seems an inefficient way of dealing with this that is just going to waste time both at ours and your ends.

Kind regards

Michael Ruddock
Principal Planner



Querns Business Centre | Whitworth Rd | Cirencester | GL7 1RT

