

WEST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL CONSULTATION

TO:	Arun District Council FAO: Michael Eastham
FROM:	WSCC - Highways Authority: Tim Townsend
DATE:	9 November 2020
LOCATION:	Land south of Ford Lane East of North End Road Yapton BN18 0DS
SUBJECT:	Y/105/20/DOC Approval of details reserved by condition imposed under Y/80/16/OUT relating to condition 10- Construction Method Statement. More information received.
DATE OF SITE VISIT:	19 October 2020
RECOMMENDATION:	More Information Required
S106 CONTRIBUTION TOTAL:	£ See outline consent.

This is the third WSCC County Highway Authority (CHA) response to the above planning application for approval of details reserved by condition imposed under Y/80/16/OUT relating to condition 10 - Construction Method Statement (CMP). Previous CHA comments were made in response dated 19th October 2020 and 29 October 2020 and this response should be read alongside those.

Outstanding highway matters.

For ease of reading, the outstanding highway matters are as follows:

1. Explanation from the developer why a separate construction access is required for the development.
2. Road Safety Audit – ‘problems’ 3.1.1. and 3.3.1. The replies alongside these references in the latest version of the Road Safety Audit Log are not accepted by the Highway Authority (the Overseeing Organisation). Points below explain further:
 - ***‘Problem’ 3.1.1 – Risk of ponding of surface water*** – The designer’s response is not accepted by the CHA. As the access will be a new hard surface, surface water run-off will increase. As such, drainage will be required to ensure that ponding does not occur on the highway at the point the new access joins it. Designer to confirm what is to be provided and where (e.g. gulleys, check drains etc.).
 - ***‘Problem’ 3.3.1 – Risk of insufficient visibility which could lead to rear end shunt and/or side impact collisions*** – The designer’s response is not accepted by the CHA. As per the recommendation in the Safety Audit, adequate visibility is required for this access (both site access and forward visibility). Reference is still made to speed surveys taken circa 2014 (assumed to be for the main site access and NOT this temporary access), whereas a further survey has been undertaken and is

not referenced. However, consideration of the results of this latter survey do not appear to show the correct 85th percentile results (the ones listed appear too low). As such, the designer should provide further information in support of those + calculations. Visibility splays (including forward visibility splays) should then be shown on a revised plan in accordance with the results of the survey.

As or when all matters have been resolved to the satisfaction of the CHA, the RSA Log will require finalising by both the designer and CHA, with copies of the final version being retained by both and the LPA. A copy in WORD format should be forwarded to the CHA alongside any reply to the comments made in this response in order that the CHA can add its' parts. This finalising must be done prior to the application being determined.

Conclusion.

Please re-consult with the additional information as required above, at which time the CHA will consider the proposal further.

Thank you.

Tim Townsend
West Sussex County Council – Planning Services