

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Anita Gardner <Anita.Gardner@arun.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 04 November 2021 11:59  
**To:** Planning.Responses <Planning.Responses@arun.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** FW: Planning Consultation on: WA/91/21/DOC

Please scan as correspondence with agent

**Anita Gardner**  
Principal Planner

**T:** 01903 737529  
**E:** [anita.gardner@arun.gov.uk](mailto:anita.gardner@arun.gov.uk)



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF  
[www.arun.gov.uk](http://www.arun.gov.uk)

---

**From:** Anita Gardner  
**Sent:** 04 November 2021 11:54  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Planning Consultation on: WA/91/21/DOC

Dear Mr Boswijk,

2 weeks have elapsed since my previous e-mail to you and no additional information has been received. The application will now progress to refusal in respect of condition 12.

Regards

Anita Gardner

**Anita Gardner**  
Principal Planner

**T:** 01903 737529

**E:** [anita.gardner@arun.gov.uk](mailto:anita.gardner@arun.gov.uk)

Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF  
[www.arun.gov.uk](http://www.arun.gov.uk)



---

**From:** Anita Gardner

**Sent:** 19 October 2021 14:32

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** FW: Planning Consultation on: WA/91/21/DOC

Dear Mr Boswijk,

The Council's Drainage Engineer has provided the below comments. Please can you let me have additional details to address these points within the next weeks or the application will be determined on the basis of the information submitted?

Engineers Comments Regarding Surface Water Drainage

The surface water drainage design and supporting information does not meet our requirements and as such I cannot recommend that Condition 12 is discharged at this time. The following areas need clarification/addressing. I am happy to provide one further consultation if further information can be provided within your deadline.

1. Please provide a clear list/table of exactly when and to what depth groundwater was observed.
2. Infiltration testing was only monitored for 60mins for each test after which point the results of almost every test was extrapolated. This is not in strict accordance with BRE DG 365 and no justification is given for the short duration of monitoring. I would like a justification for the methodology and expect a higher factor of safety (of at least 3) to be used in the calculations if these rates are to be used.
3. No calculations have been provided to justify the design. Please provide these, the full list of our requirements are in our Drainage Checklist available at: [download.cfm \(arun.gov.uk\)](http://download.cfm(arun.gov.uk))
4. The drainage layout is indicative only. We require a detailed design that is ready for implementation, based on the groundwater monitoring and infiltration testing with the calculations (point 3) to justify it. The base of soakaways must be set to 1mbgl in accordance with the infiltration testing carried out on site. Again the requirements are listed in the Drainage Checklist linked above, please pay special attention to Note 10 for the level of detail required.
5. No construction detail drawings have been supplied. Construction detail drawings for all components need to be provided (see Note 11 in Drainage Checklist linked above).

Regards

**Anita Gardner**  
Principal Planner

**T:** 01903 737529

**E:** [anita.gardner@arun.gov.uk](mailto:anita.gardner@arun.gov.uk)



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF  
[www.arun.gov.uk](http://www.arun.gov.uk)

### **Engineers Comments Regarding Surface Water Drainage**

The surface water drainage design and supporting information does not meet our requirements and as such I cannot recommend that Condition 12 is discharged at this time. The following areas need clarification/addressing. I am happy to provide one further consultation if further information can be provided within your deadline.

1. Please provide a clear list/table of exactly when and to what depth groundwater was observed.
2. Infiltration testing was only monitored for 60mins for each test after which point the results of almost every test was extrapolated. This is not in strict accordance with BRE DG 365 and no justification is given for the short duration of monitoring. I would like a justification for the methodology and expect a higher factor of safety (of at least 3) to be used in the calculations if these rates are to be used.
3. No calculations have been provided to justify the design. Please provide these, the full list of our requirements are in our Drainage Checklist available at: [download.cfm \(arun.gov.uk\)](#)
4. The drainage layout is indicative only. We require a detailed design that is ready for implementation, based on the groundwater monitoring and infiltration testing with the calculations (point 3) to justify it. The base of soakaways must be set to 1mbgl in accordance with the infiltration testing carried out on site. Again the requirements are listed in the Drainage Checklist linked above, please pay special attention to Note 10 for the level of detail required.
5. No construction detail drawings have been supplied. Construction detail drawings for all components need to be provided (see Note 11 in Drainage Checklist linked above).