

From: Richard Temple
Sent: 21 November 2017 14:49
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Important clarity for the 'Whittington Cycle Path' - an alternative reason for his request WA/73/17/OUT

Please scan as representation.

Regards,

Richard Temple | Senior Planning Officer, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37591 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737591 | E-mail: Richard.temple@arun.gov.uk

Please note this information is given without prejudice and represents the informal view of the officer and not an official opinion of Arun District Council.

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Juan Baeza
Sent: 21 November 2017 14:25
To: Richard Temple
Subject: FW: Important clarity for the 'Whittington Cycle Path' - an alternative reason for his request

The end of thread has a comment from Kitchener that needs scanning in for WA/73/17/OUT

Juan Baeza | Area Team Leader - Planning Department, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37765 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737765 | E-mail: juan.baeza@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 November 2017 22:27

Norman Dingemans

Subject: Re: Important clarity for the 'Whittington Cycle Path' - an alternative reason for his request

Dear Mr Whittington,

Perhaps 2 decades may have wrongly linked the Whittingtons in North Berstead with yourself, so I sincerely apologise for degradation of memory there. I have the same problem with being associated with WW1's PR activities by Lord Kitchener, but I am blood related, so I don't mind too much.

However, contrary to your accusation now about my serial-confusion, I'm certainly that degree or two sharper than you are, and I'm certainly not confused about your motives, and I'm really saddened by how you have managed to upset a great deal of good people here at Fontwell, where you are supposedly a councillor of. I have no idea if this is your position of responsibility, because we, at Fontwell, have had no positive support from you, what-so-ever.

Ren 'Your Village does not need you' Kitchener.

---Original message---

From : [REDACTED]

Date : 09/11/2017 - 20:45 (GMTST)

To : [REDACTED]

Subject : Re: Important clarity for the 'Whittington Cycle Path' - an alternative reason for his request

Mr Kitchener

For clarity for your email addressees I have never met you. I have never belonged to any cycling club - ever - and have never been in the old school in North Bersted Street.

There was a Whittington family living in North Bested Street for many years, but no relatives of mine. The family was that Arun Councillor Ron Whittington, a well known Bognor man who held speedboat and other seafront concessions.

Perhaps you are confused again?

DW

Sent from my iPhone

On 9 Nov 2017, at 20:05, Re: [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear All,

Of course, I did attend the North Berstead Cycle Club back in the 90's (in the old Chruch/School), and I now remember Derek Whittington, who is a fanatic cyclist indeed.

Notwithstanding what I've said below, my only other argument is that Cllr Whittington is very keen to open up a cycle path from his house in Barnham, into the National Parks.

So either way, there is vested interest in his statement for WA/73/17/OUT.

As a keen cyclist myself, I now recall that Cllr Whittington was not actually a very nice person, and that was the reason why I left the Club.

Kindest regards,

Ren.

-----Original message-----

From : [REDACTED]

Date : 09/11/2017 - 15:29 (GMTST)

Subject : Complaint about WA/73/17/OUT rep email from WSCC Member for Fontwell Division

Dear Juan Baeza and Stephen Gee,

This is a robust complaint about Cllr Whittington's representation on WA/73/17/OUT 9th Nov 17, and I wish this to be included as my rep.:

Despite his claim that 'everyone uses' this lane, and it runs alongside West Walberton lane, I can assure you that this is **not true**, and that the cycle lane is not wanted by Fontwell, because it serves no real purpose:

It does **NOT** run along side West Walberton Lane, and it is **NOT** used by School children despite the claims of Ms Scott et al. Additionally, it will attract the wrong sort of people because it's unlit and totally obscured (a perfect breeding-ground for all types). I would certainly not want my children to use it - **FULL STOP**.

Getting to the point: School children from this development **WILL**, by foot and by bicycle, use **Wandleys Lane** to get to the largest school, the **Ormiston Academy** (which is set to expand significantly), in preference to using the 'Whittington Cycle Path'. They do from Fontwell Village, so there is substantial evidence to support what I say here.

With the new realigned A29, they will also use Wandleys Lane to get to Philip Howard and the Train Station, which **Cllr Whittington has failed to consider. The reason for this is that it's HALF the distance!** Here is the proof:

At the moment, the Welbeck entrance is 2 miles from the main school, and the 'Whittington cycle path' is at least 3 miles. On the other extreme, when the A29 is realigned, the distance to the station will be 1.25 miles using Wandleys Lane, and 2.25 miles using the 'Whittington cycle path'.

The reason we have all missed this cycle path, is because it is not relevant to this site - it's useless, unused, unwanted and it's too far to get to anywhere quickly or safely. In principle, it has been a total waste of money.

There were many objections raised against this 'unusual' cycle path concept, but unfortunately it was decided and rapidly executed before there was time to introduce a preferred Chair-person to Walberton Parish Council, along with a few councillors to fairly represent Fontwell.

This cycle path is one of Cllr Whittington's 'concepts' to 'perhaps' introduce sustainability arguments for development at and around Fontwell (something that I suspected, and as I now see it, appears to be true). **This is my opinion.**

This is not an accusation but I would like to discount three questions: Can Cllr Whittington confirm if (or not) Welbeck and/or Dandara have legally contributed donations to his political party's funds or any other funds? Can also Cllr Whittington confirm if he, living in **Barnham**, has upheld, or resisted build in, the abnormal 1km settlement gap between **Barnham** and Walberton? Does Cllr Whittington support development at Fontwell, against the wishes of Fontwell?

Regards,

Ren Kitchener.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the persons addressed. If it has come to you in error please reply to advise us but you should not read it, copy it, show it to anyone else nor make any other use of its content. West Sussex County Council takes steps to ensure emails and attachments are virus-free but you should carry out your own checks before opening any attachment.