

-----Original Message-----

From: C Morley [REDACTED]

Sent: 15 November 2017 14:48

To: Planning

Subject: WA/73/17/OUT Land East of Wandleys Lane and West of West Walberton Lane Fontwell

I am writing to object to the proposed development on this site. It does feel like Walberton is under siege from developers and I am objecting for the following reasons :-

1. Neighbourhood Plan - This development is outside of the neighbourhood plan and also the HELAA advice that this land is not suitable for development. Why is it even being considered for development?
2. Traffic - Wandleys Lane is just a 'lane' and I am not convinced it is capable of becoming a realistic 2 way road. Any widening of Wandleys lane (if it is possible) will just push the problem on down West Walberton Lane and ultimately to Walberton village itself which can already become grid locked at peak times. Traffic will inevitably increase. It is dangerous enough now to walk, cycle, horse ride down West Walberton Lane which is frequently affected by potholes. I can only imagine this will become even more of a problem and there will be accidents.
3. Flooding - The southern end of Wandleys Lane has a history of flooding and we know that excess rain water often runs down West Walberton Lane. I imagine more houses will result in more surface water which will result in more flooding in vulnerable areas. I presume sewerage is also a worry with a development of this scale.
4. Light Pollution – I suspect many residents in Walberton and Fontwell appreciate the fact that at night there is proper darkness. Any development is going to mean the end to darkness as we know it and the subsequent knock on affect this will have on wildlife in the area.

5. Character of village – A development of this nature is going to drastically change the character of the village. I think many residents have moved here as they appreciate living in a village and they have no wish for it to become a suburban environment
6. Wildlife – The field in question supports a wide range of wildlife. This proposed development would have hugely detrimental impact on the wildlife which can never be reversed.
7. I am not sure that it is reasonable for so many developments to be considered all at the same time. Surely it would be more appropriate for the 400 houses to be completed at the Dandara site (if that really is going to happen), and the impact assessed on traffic and infrastructure, before other developments are considered.

It seems very drastic to overwhelm the village with possibly x3 large schemes totalling in excess of 730 properties while the village is also under threat of increased traffic as a result of changes to the A27. There seems to be rather too much going on.

I am not sure it is fair, and is in fact rather misleading, to consider this scheme in isolation without factoring in the impact of the other schemes under consideration in the area.

It would be more reasonable still to consider the smaller suggested developments spread over the village, as supported in the Neighbourhood Plan, rather than these large schemes which are going to so drastically affect the integrity of the village.

Kate Morley
Henty Close
Walberton

Sent from my iPad