

Sandra Edwards

From: Amanda Scholes
Sent: 10 November 2017 08:43
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: Complaint about WA/73/17/OUT rep email from WSCC Member for Fontwell Division

Rep

From: Amanda Scholes **On Behalf Of** Planning.Responses
Sent: 10 November 2017 08:36
To: Daniel Vick
Subject: FW: Complaint about WA/73/17/OUT rep email from WSCC Member for Fontwell Division

From: Daniel Vick **On Behalf Of** Juan Baeza
Sent: 09 November 2017 16:10
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: Complaint about WA/73/17/OUT rep email from WSCC Member for Fontwell Division

From: Ren Kitchener [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 November 2017 15:30
To: [REDACTED] nick.herbert.mp@parliament.uk; Karl Roberts; stephen.gee@westsussex.gov.uk; Cllr. Norman Dingemans; Juan Baeza; Sue Walsgrove; Paul Madeley
Cc: [REDACTED] derek.whittington@westsussex.gov.uk
Subject: Complaint about WA/73/17/OUT rep email from WSCC Member for Fontwell Division

Dear Juan Baeza and Stephen Gee,

This is a robust complaint about Cllr Whittington's representation on WA/73/17/OUT 9th Nov 17, and I wish this to be included as my rep.:

Despite his claim that 'everyone uses' this lane, and it runs alongside West Walberton lane, I can assure you that this is **not true**, and that the cycle lane is not wanted by Fontwell, because it serves no real purpose:

It does **NOT** run along side West Walberton Lane, and it is **NOT** used by School children despite the claims of Ms Scott et al. Additionally, it will attract the wrong sort of people because it's unlit and totally obscured (a perfect breeding-ground for all types). I would certainly not want my children to use it - **FULL STOP**.

Getting to the point: School children form this development **WILL**, by foot and by bicycle, use **Wandleys Lane** to get to the largest school, the **Ormiston Academy** (which is set to expand significantly), in preference to using the 'Whittington Cycle Path'. They do from Fontwell Village, so there is substantial evidence to support what I say here.

With the new realigned A29, they will also use Wandleys Lane to get to Philip Howard and the Train Station, which **Cllr Whittington has failed to consider**. **The reason for this is that it's HALF the distance!** Here is the proof:

At the moment, the Welbeck entrance is 2 miles from the main school, and the 'Whittington cycle path' is at least 3 miles. On the other extreme, when the A29 is realigned, the distance to the station will be 1.25 miles using Wandleys Lane, and 2.25 miles using the 'Whittington cycle path'.

The reason we have all missed this cycle path, is because it is not relevant to this site - it's useless, unused, unwanted and it's too far to get to anywhere quickly or safely. In principle, it has been a total waste of money.

There were many objections raised against this 'unusual' cycle path concept, but unfortunately it was decided and rapidly executed before there was time to introduce a preferred Chair-person to Walberton Parish Council, along with a few councillors to fairly represent Fontwell.

This cycle path is one of Cllr Whittington's 'concepts' to 'perhaps' introduce sustainability arguments for development at and around Fontwell (something that I suspected, and as I now see it, appears to be true). **This is my opinion.**

This is not an accusation but I would like to discount three questions: Can Cllr Wittington confirm if (or not) Welbeck and/or Dandara have legally contributed donations to his political party's funds or any other funds? Can also Cllr Wittington confirm if he, living in **Barnham**, has upheld, or resisted build in, the abnormal 1km settlement gap between **Barnham** and Walberton? Does Cllr Whittington support development at Fontwell, against the wishes of Fontwell?

Regards,

Ren Kitchener.