

Michael Eastham | Principal Planning Officer, Strategic Development, Directorate of Place, Arun District Council | Location: First Floor,
Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37772 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737772 | E-mail: Michael.eastham@arun.gov.uk



Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: Clive [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 July 2021 13:44
To: Michael Eastham <Michael.Eastham@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: Objection to WA/62/21 - Tye Lane access

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear Mr Eastham,

Please find our letter of objection attached. This is in addition to the Parish objections which we fully support.

Thank you for attention to this matter.

Kind regards, Clive Thompson

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

Olive and Laura Thompson

**Mulberry Barn
Eastergate Lane
Walberton
BN18 0BA**

Mr Eastham
Case Officer, Planning Department
Arun District Council
By email: michael.eastham@arun.gov.uk
26th May 2021

Objection to planning application: **WA/62/21**

Dear Mr Eastham,

I refer to the application for access onto Tye Lane for the Avisford Grange estate. Our objections are threefold:

1. Inflicting damage on Conservation Zones:
The application if granted will inevitably inflict damage onto the conservation areas of The Street, and very likely onto Walberton Green as HGVs will inevitably turn right to access Eastergate Lane when leaving the site, or access via Eastergate Lane to gain entry when travelling from the West (Chichester side). This will increase the danger of the 5 way crossing at the Green. Our objection would be "what is the point of designating a Conservation Area if it is so blatantly overridden"?
2. Unnecessary at this time:
Should the A27 Grey route be approved (and this is highly contingent given the political circumstances) then it would be inevitable to provide access to residents via Tye Lane. The alternative would be to leave the estate stranded and economically unviable. THIS IS NOT THE CASE AT PRESENT. I fail to see why future residents need any more logical assurance than this. If said future residents / potential purchasers were willing to 'gamble' on the outcome of the A27 application when deciding to purchase it seems to me the, by far lesser risk, of denial of access would be insignificant.
3. Insincere, misleading and mendacious application:
This application is made at this time purely to alter the initial plans and overturn the original refusal to provide access. It is a blatant attempt to rework the application during the construction period when the developer thinks they have spotted a "window of opportunity". I would ask the authority to question themselves "why this, and why now" viewed from the perspective of Cui Bono? The debate on the Grey Route is yet to get started and will inevitably attract political attention given its excessive budget, the national debt position following the pandemic and the strategic review of future highways strategy being undertaken.

In addition to the above we also fully support the Parish Council objections.

Thank you for taking our comments in to consideration when reviewing this application which we trust that you will refuse.

Yours sincerely

Unsigned -- sent by email

Clive and Laura Thompson

Clive and Laura Thompson