

**WEST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL  
INFRASTRUCTURE CONSULTATION**

**DATE: 26/5/2010**

**FROM: Liam Riddy TO: Arun District Council  
FAO: Sue Leeson**

**SUBJECT: WA/36/10**

**Ashurst, Binsted Lane, Binsted**

**Retrospective application for the retention of boundary fence and proposed replacement of main gate**

**CONSULTATION DATE: 11/5/2010**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

|                  |                                     |                     |                          |                         |                          |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>Advice</b>    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <b>Modification</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <b>More Information</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <b>Objection</b> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <b>No Objection</b> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <b>Refusal</b>          | <input type="checkbox"/> |

**S106 contribution: £ N/A**

---

**This application has been dealt with in accordance with the Development Control Scheme protocol for small scale proposals which include up to 5 residential units or extensions to single units accessed from roads that do not form part of the Strategic Road Network (SRN). As such the comments provided by Local Development should be considered to be advice only, with respect to this planning application.**

West Sussex County Council was consulted previously on Highway Matters for this location under planning application no. WA/14/05 to which no highway objections were raised.

This proposal has been considered by means of a desktop study, using the information and plans submitted with this application, in conjunction with other available WSCC map information. A site visit can be arranged on request.

From an inspection of the plans alone, the fence does not appear to be located within the publicly maintainable highway, nor does it affect the legal line of Footpath 337 adjacent to this site. Furthermore, visibility is not compromised by the retention of this fence.

The application also concerns the 'replacement of the main gate', which would suggest that a gate has existed in this location for a period of time already, and the most recently available verified accident records reveal there have been no personal injury accidents in the vicinity of the existing point of access. WSCC would prefer any gates at the site to be located 5m back from the edge of the carriageway of Binsted Lane.

**Liam Riddy  
Local Development**