

Recommendation Report for Planning Permission for Works or Extension to a Dwelling

REF NO: R/35/20/HH

LOCATION: 4 Copper Hall Close
Rustington
BN16 3RZ

PROPOSAL: Single storey rear extension.

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION	The application seeks to replace the existing single storey rear extension with a larger single storey rear extension.
----------------------------	--

REPRESENTATIONS

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED:

Rustington Parish Council
Parish Council - No objection.

No representations received from occupiers of nearby dwellings.

CONSULTATION RESPONSES RECEIVED:

None.

POLICY CONTEXT

Designation applicable to site:
Within built up area boundary

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES

[Arun Local Plan 2011 - 2031:](#)

DDM1	D DM1 Aspects of form and design quality
DDM4	D DM4 Extensions&alter to exist builds(res and non-res)
DSP1	D SP1 Design
SDSP2	SD SP2 Built-up Area Boundary

[Rustington Neighbourhood Plan 2014 Policy 2](#) Housing Design

PLANNING POLICY GUIDANCE:

NPPDG	National Design Guide
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance

CONCLUSIONS

PRINCIPLE

In this case, the key policies are deemed to be D DM1 and D DM4 of the Arun Local Plan. Additionally, Policy 2 of the Rustington Neighbourhood Development Plan.

Policy D DM1 sets out 13 design aspects of which applications should be assessed against. These include: Character, Appearance, Impact, Innovation, Adaptability, Crime Prevention, Trees, Public realm, Layout, Public Art, Density and Scale.

Policy D DM4 sets out criteria for which applications relating to extensions and alterations of existing buildings must be assessed against. They generally seek to minimise the impact of the proposal on the character of the host dwelling, its neighbours and the locality.

Policy 2 of the Rustington Neighbourhood Development Plan states that development proposals will be supported providing that their scale, density, massing, height, landscape design, layout and materials reflect and enhance the established character of the area.

DESIGN AND VISUAL AMENITY

The proposed development will be visible from the street scene due to its height, however, it is not considered to result in any significantly harmful impact upon the character of the locality. This is largely due to the design of the proposal, and the use of materials to match. Whilst the scale of the proposal means that it does not appear subservient to the host dwelling, the use of materials and its siting is considered to allow it to be sympathetic to the character of the host dwelling.

The proposal will be visible from the street scene. However, the proposal is not considered to result in a significantly harmful impact upon the host dwelling, or the locality in order to prescribe refusal of the application. Therefore, whilst the application is in conflict with D DM4(b) of the Arun Local Plan, it is in accordance with D DM1 and D DM4(a) of the Arun Local Plan.

NEIGHBOURING RESIDENTIAL AMENITY

The proposed development is not considered to result in any significantly harmful overshadowing or overbearing impact upon the occupiers of neighbouring dwellings. This is due to the separation distance of 2.2m between the extension and the Northern application site boundary and the boundary treatment between the dwellings mitigating any significantly harmful impact. With regard to loss of privacy, the proposed development is single storey in its nature, and as such, does not provide any views that are not available from the application site at current.

The proposed development is in accordance with D DM1(3) and D DM4(c) of the Arun Local Plan.

SUMMARY

The proposed development is deemed to accord with relevant development plan policies and as such is recommended for approval subject to the following conditions.

HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

The Council in making a decision should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as Arun District Council to act in a manner, which is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights.

Consideration has been specifically given to Article 8 (right to respect private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property). It is not considered that the recommendation for approval of the grant of permission in this case interferes unreasonably with any local residents' right to respect for their private and family life and home, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest and the recommendation for approval is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

DUTY UNDER THE EQUALITIES ACT 2010

In assessing this proposal the following impacts have been identified upon those people with the following protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation).

The proposal would have a neutral impact on the protected characteristics.

RECOMMENDATION

APPROVE CONDITIONALLY

- 1 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of 3 years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

- 2 The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

- Block Plan - 4COP-20 - B01 - 1st February 2020
- Floor Plans - 4COP-20 - A103 - 25th January 2020
- Proposed Elevations - 4COP-20 - A102 - 25th January 2020

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of amenity and the environment in accordance with policy D DM1 of the Arun Local Plan.

- 3 **INFORMATIVE:** Statement pursuant to Article 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure)(England) Order 2015. The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received and subsequently determining to grant planning permission in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development, as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework.