

Recommendation Report for Prior Notification of Work to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area

REF NO: R/289/21/TC

LOCATION: Manor Cottage
Station Road
Rustington
BN16 3AS

PROPOSAL: 6 No. Beech trees (TG1) - Height reduction by 4m, from 15m to 11m. 1 No. Ash tree (T2) - 1m Height reduction from 14m to 13m & 1m radial reduction from 6m to 5m back to previous reduction points to maintain tree near Angmering Lane; 1 No. Pear tree (T3) - removal of deadwood only; 1 No. Robinia tree (T4) - Removal of deadwood for health of tree on verge and 1 No. Ilex Oak tree (T5) - height reduction by 2m, from 10m to 8m & radial spread reduction from 5m to 3m. within the Station Road, East Preston Conservation area.

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION	As above.
TREES	The trees referred to in this application are at various locations within the Manor Cottage site.
SITE CHARACTERISTICS	Dwelling.
CHARACTER OF LOCALITY	Residential.

RELEVANT SITE HISTORY

R/302/16/TC	Height reduction of 8No. Beech trees by 5m & reduce radial spread on North facing side by 2m. Crown reduction of 1No. Ash by 25% - reducing radial spread to 5m, reducing height by 2m & maximum pruning cuts not to exceed 70mm	No Objection 11-01-17
R/9/15/T	Reduce height by 2m & radial spread by 1m maximum with pruning cuts not to exceed 45mm in diameter to 1 No. Oak tree.	ApproveConditionally 02-04-15

All relevant planning history noted.

REPRESENTATIONS

Rustington Parish Council - No response.

No representations from nearby occupiers.

COMMENTS ON REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED:

None received.

CONSULTATIONS

CONSULTATION RESPONSES RECEIVED:

Arun District Council (ADC) - Tree Officer:

Comments:

I undertook a desktop review on 21st December 2021, which was adequate for me to determine this Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) s211 Notice.

I am satisfied that the proposed works; if undertaken to a high standard and at the right time - will not result in character of the surrounding area being diminished.

Recommendation:

I raise No Objection to the works that have been applied for and see no reason to make a Tree Preservation Order.

COMMENTS ON CONSULTATION RESPONSES:

Noted.

POLICY CONTEXT

TPOs on site.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES

PLANNING POLICY GUIDANCE:

NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance

POLICY COMMENTARY

The above policies are general and, other than online Planning Policy Guidance under references ID 36-090-20140306 and ID 36-091-20140306, are not specific to trees.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND/OR LEGISLATIVE BACKGROUND

Section 211(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 states:-

'Subject to the provision of this section and Section 212, any person who, in relation to a tree to which this section applies, does any act which might by virtue of Section 198(3)(a) be prohibited by a Tree Preservation Order shall be guilty of an offence.'

It is a defence to have provided notification to the Local Planning Authority 6 weeks in advance of any works. This is to enable the Local Planning Authority time to consider making a Tree Preservation Order.

OTHER MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS

It is considered that there are no other material considerations to be weighed in the balance with the Development Plan.

CONCLUSIONS

Following the comments from the ADC Tree Officer, it is recommended no objection be raised for the proposed works.

HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

The Council in making a decision should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as Arun District Council to act in a manner, which is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights.

Consideration has been specifically given to Article 8 (right to respect private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property). It is not considered that the recommendation for approval of the grant of permission in this case interferes unreasonably with any local residents' right to respect for their private and family life and home, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest and the recommendation for approval is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

DUTY UNDER THE EQUALITIES ACT 2010

Duty under the Equalities Act 2010

In assessing this proposal the following impacts have been identified upon those people with the following protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation).

The proposal would have a neutral impact on the protected characteristics.

RECOMMENDATION

NO OBJECTION

- 1 INFORMATIVE: The applicant should note that under Part 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, with only a few exceptions, it is an offence for any person to intentionally take, damage or destroy the nest of any wild birds while the nest is in use or being built. Birds nest between March and September and therefore removal of dense bushes, ivy or trees or parts of trees etc. during this period could lead to an offence under the act.
- 2 INFORMATIVE: This notice does not give authority to destroy or damage a bat roost or disturb a bat. Bat species are protected under Section 39 of the 1994 Conservation (Natural Habitats etc) Regulations (as amended), the 1981 Wildlife and Countryside Act (as amended) and the 2000 Countryside and Rights of Way Act. It is illegal to damage or destroy any bat roost, whether occupied or not, or disturb or harm a bat. If you are aware that bats roost in a tree(s) for which work is planned, you should take further advice from Natural England (via the Bat

Conservation Trust on 0345 1300228) or an ecological consultant before you start. If bats are discovered during the work, you must stop immediately and contact Natural England before continuing.