



Mrs.C.M.Sadler and Mr.P.W.Sadler
Furze Cottage,
31,Park Drive,
Rustington,
West Sussex,
BN16 3DZ
24/02/2018

Arun District Council
Head of Planning,
Planning Department
Maltravers Road,
Littlehampton,
BN17 5LF
Attention: Mr R Leal

Ref. Planning Application R/289/17/HH .29 Park Drive .Rustington.

Dear Sir, .

Having inspected the details and drawings of the applicants proposals shown on the Councils web site, we are writing to object to the planning application for an extension to the rear of the property.

Our objections are based on the following considerations:-

i) **LOSS OF DAYLIGHT/ AMENITY** – The increase of the footprint together with the increase of the roofline {the height of which is not shown on the drawings} would result in loss of light to our garden causing loss of our amenity and enjoyment of our home as the existing footprint of property already extends 4 metres, well past our dwelling. We need to emphasize this as all our gardens face north therefore the sunlight is from the west and with this large extension half way down length of the garden and only 1.3 metres from the boundary we will not get any sunlight at all into our garden which we are certain is a contravention of the Arun District Local Plan 2003 policy GEN 7 item (ii), (iii), (iv) and policy 2 of the Rustington Neighbourhood Plan September 2014

ii) **OVERBEARING DESIGN** - As previously stated. As the existing property already extends well past our dwelling a further 3.375 metre development and only 1.3 metres from the boundary with a false pitch roof {the height of which is not shown on the drawings} on the scale shown on the registered plans would mean it would be totally overbearing and visually intrusive to our property as it would extend half way down our garden. Again in contravention to the Arun District Local Plan 2003 policy GEN 7 item (ii), (iii), (iv) and policy 2 of the Rustington Neighbourhood Plan September 2014.

In summary, this proposal would result in an unduly large, oversized and unneighbourly development which would adversely impact on our privacy and daylight, and would be visually intrusive.

We would appreciate it if the Planning case officer would contact us to call at our property when he does his site visit, so he can understand our concerns that the proposal would have on our property and would be grateful if you ensure that this objection is included as part of the councils consideration of the above application.

In summary, this proposal would result in an unduly large and unneighbourly form of development

With Thanks.

Yours faithfully