

Recommendation Report for Planning Permission for Works or Extension to a Dwelling

REF NO: R/196/20/HH

LOCATION: 32 Hawke Close
Rustington
BN16 2AT

PROPOSAL: Single storey rear extension and porch canopy roof to front elevation

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION As above

REPRESENTATIONS

Parish Council - No objection

No representation from nearby occupiers.

CONSULTATION RESPONSES RECEIVED:

None

POLICY CONTEXT

Within the Built Up Area Boundary

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES

[Arun Local Plan 2011 - 2031:](#)

DDM1	D DM1 Aspects of form and design quality
DDM4	D DM4 Extensions&alter to exist builds(res and non-res)
DSP1	D SP1 Design
SDSP2	SD SP2 Built-up Area Boundary

[Rustington Neighbourhood Plan 2014 Policy 2](#) Housing Design

PLANNING POLICY GUIDANCE:

NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance

CONCLUSIONS

PRINCIPLE

In this case, the key policies are deemed to be D DM1 and D DM4 of the Arun Local Plan. Additionally, Policy 2 of the Rustington Neighbourhood Development Plan.

Policy D DM1 sets out 13 design aspects of which applications should be assessed against. These include: Character, Appearance, Impact, Innovation, Adaptability, Crime Prevention, Trees, Public realm, Layout, Public Art, Density and Scale.

Policy D DM4 sets out criteria for which applications relating to extensions and alterations of existing buildings must be assessed against. They generally seek to minimise the impact of the proposal on the character of the host dwelling, its neighbours and the locality.

Policy 2 of the Rustington Neighbourhood Development Plan states that development proposals will be supported providing that their scale, density, massing, height, landscape design, layout and materials reflect and enhance the established character of the area.

DESIGN AND VISUAL AMENITY

The proposed rear extension is considered to be an acceptable addition to the host dwelling, resultant of its scale, design and use of materials. It runs the full width of the dwellinghouse with a depth of 1.5m. Due to the siting of the rear extension, it will not be visible from the public realm, and as such it has no harmful impact upon the character of the host dwelling, or its locality.

The enlargement of the porch through the introduction of a canopy will result in a change in appearance to the host dwelling, however, the enlargement is very minor in its scale, and as such, the change is not considered to be harmful. The use of materials to match the host dwelling, and the design of the porch are considered to allow this element of the application to appear well integrated with the host dwelling.

The application is considered to be in accordance with D DM1, D DM4(a) and (b) of the Arun Local Plan.

NEIGHBOURING RESIDENTIAL AMENITY

The proposed development is not considered to give rise to any significantly harmful overshadowing or overbearing impact upon the occupiers of nearby dwellings. This is due to the proposed rear extension being of a modest scale, and allowing for a 12m separation distance to the northern application site boundary and 16m to the western boundary. With regard to overlooking, all of the openings proposed under this application are at ground floor level, and therefore, provide no views that are not currently available from the application site at current.

The application is considered to be in accordance with D DM1(3) and D DM4(c) of the Arun Local Plan.

SUMMARY

The proposed development is deemed to accord with relevant development plan policies and as such is recommended for approval subject to the following conditions.

HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

The Council in making a decision should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as Arun District Council to act in a manner, which is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights.

Consideration has been specifically given to Article 8 (right to respect private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property). It is not considered that the recommendation for approval of the grant of permission in this case interferes unreasonably with any local residents' right to respect for their private and family life and home, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest and the recommendation for approval is considered to

be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

DUTY UNDER THE EQUALITIES ACT 2010

Duty under the Equalities Act 2010

In assessing this proposal the following impacts have been identified upon those people with the following protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation).

The proposal would have a neutral impact on the protected characteristics.

CIL DETAILS

This application is not CIL Liable.

RECOMMENDATION

APPROVE CONDITIONALLY

- 1 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of 3 years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

- 2 The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:
 - Location Plan
 - Block and Roof Plan
 - Proposed Ground Floor RT/HC/03
 - Proposed Elevations RT/HC/04

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of amenity and the environment in accordance with policy D DM1 of the Arun Local Plan.

- 3 **INFORMATIVE:** Statement pursuant to Article 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure)(England) Order 2015. The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received and subsequently determining to grant planning permission in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development, as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework.