

Recommendation Report for Planning Permission for Works or Extension to a Dwelling

REF NO: R/122/20/HH

LOCATION: 115 Worthing Road
Rustington
BN16 3LX

PROPOSAL: Single storey rear extension

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION As above

REPRESENTATIONS

No objection

No representation from nearby occupiers

CONSULTATION RESPONSES RECEIVED:

None

POLICY CONTEXT

Within the Built Up Area Boundary

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES

[Arun Local Plan 2011 - 2031:](#)

DDM1	D DM1 Aspects of form and design quality
DDM4	D DM4 Extensions&alter to exist builds(res and non-res)
DSP1	D SP1 Design
SDSP2	SD SP2 Built-up Area Boundary

[Rustington Neighbourhood Plan 2014 Policy 2](#) Housing Design

PLANNING POLICY GUIDANCE:

NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance

CONCLUSIONS

PRINCIPLE

In this case, the key policies are D DM1 and D DM4 of the Arun Local Plan. Additionally, Policy 1 and Policy 2 of the Rustington Neighbourhood Development Plan.

Policy D DM1 sets out 13 design aspects of which applications should be assessed against. These include: Character, Appearance, Impact, Innovation, Adaptability, Crime Prevention, Trees, Public realm, Layout, Public Art, Density and Scale.

Policy D DM4 sets out criteria for which applications relating to extensions and alterations of existing buildings must be assessed against. They generally seek to minimise the impact of the proposal on the character of the host dwelling, its neighbours and the locality.

Policy 2 of the Rustington Neighbourhood Plan states development proposals (including alterations to existing buildings) will be supported, provided that their scale, density, massing, height, landscape design, layout and materials reflect the architectural and historic character and scale of the surrounding buildings and landscape. Proposals must also comply with the parking standards adopted by the planning authority.

DESIGN AND VISUAL AMENITY

Given the proposal is not visible from the street scene, it is not deemed to have a significantly detrimental impact on the established spatial pattern, or visual amenity of the area. This is predominantly due to the proposed materials and modest, largely open scale of the development allowing it to remain subservient in appearance and sympathetic in style to the host dwelling.

The proposed alterations are considered to be acceptable in terms of design and visual amenity, in that they do not have any considerable impact upon the street scene, or the established character of the site.

Therefore, the proposal is deemed to be in accordance with D DM1, D DM4(a) and (b) of the Arun Local Plan and Policies 2 of the Rustington Neighbourhood Plan in terms of design and visual amenity.

NEIGHBOURING RESIDENTIAL AMENITY

The proposed development is not considered to have any significant impact upon the residential amenities of neighbouring dwellings. The proposed construction would be located 0.8m from the eastern boundary and 21m from the southern boundary. The proposed window and door are sited on the western elevation not gaining a view of any neighbouring dwellings. As the proposal is single storey it is considered to mitigate any potential for loss of privacy. Therefore, the proposed development is considered to be in accordance with D DM1(3) and D DM4(c) of the Arun Local Plan.

Given the location and height of the proposed extension it is not deemed to effect any of the local properties with regards to the 45 degree rule. This is because it is a single storey development that does not cross the view from the neighbouring windows .

SUMMARY

The proposed development is deemed to accord with the relevant development plan policies and as such is recommended for approval subject to the following conditions.

HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

The Council in making a decision should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as Arun District Council to act in a manner, which is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights.

Consideration has been specifically given to Article 8 (right to respect private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property). It is not considered that the recommendation for approval of the grant of permission in this case interferes unreasonably with any local residents' right to respect for

their private and family life and home, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest and the recommendation for approval is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

DUTY UNDER THE EQUALITIES ACT 2010

Duty under the Equalities Act 2010

In assessing this proposal the following impacts have been identified upon those people with the following protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation).

The proposal would have a neutral impact on the protected characteristics.

CIL DETAILS

This application is CIL not Liable.

RECOMMENDATION

APPROVE CONDITIONALLY

- 1 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of 3 years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
- 2 The development hereby approved shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:
 - Location and Block Plan, Proposed Floor Plan and Elevations Sheet 1 of 3
 - Proposed Roof Plan Sheet 2 of 3
Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of amenity and the environment in accordance with policy D DM1 of the Arun Local Plan.
- 3 **INFORMATIVE:** Statement pursuant to Article 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure)(England) Order 2015. The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received and subsequently determining to grant planning permission in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development, as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework.