

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 04 March 2021 16:25
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: P/29/21/DOC - Land To North And South Of Summer Lane Pagham PO21 4NG
Attachments: Memo Style.pdf

Application Correspondence

From: paul collins [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 March 2021 15:43
To: Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>; Neil Crowther <Neil.Crowther@arun.gov.uk>; Karl Roberts <Karl.Roberts@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: P/29/21/DOC - Land To North And South Of Summer Lane Pagham PO21 4NG

FYI PLEASE SEE ATTACHED AND PLACE ON BOTH FILES

Paul Collins BA (Hons) DipTP
[REDACTED]

From: paul collins [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 3, 2021 5:03 PM
To: 'Simon Davis' <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>; 'Neil Crowther' <Neil.Crowther@arun.gov.uk>; 'Karl Roberts' <Karl.Roberts@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: P/29/21/DOC - Land To North And South Of Summer Lane Pagham PO21 4NG

Mr Davis

I refer to this application which is described as follows:

Approval of details reserved by condition imposed under ref P/58/15/OUT relating to Condition No 14 – highway works.

As ADC is aware access formed part of the only planning permission granted in respect of this site which is that granted under P/58/15 OUT and that permission was made subject to condition 3 which stated *The development shall be carried out in full accordance with the following approved plans and listed approved access drawing as being 120843/A/11 Rev C. This is an approved plan and was not indicative as now being claimed.*

ADC is also aware that development approved under P/58/15 OUT has commenced on site and that the access works including associated operational development undertaken to date are different from those works as approved under P/58/15 OUT and as shown and approved on access drawing 120843/A/11 Rev C. The works undertaken are operational development requiring planning permission and do not benefit from the planning permission granted under P/58/15 OUT and are therefore unauthorised and represent a breach of planning control.

Such unauthorised works may not be lawfully regularised by way of an application seeking to discharge a condition on the only planning permission granted as proposed. The operational development that has taken place so as achieve access is unauthorised by that permission and requires planning permission and unless and until an application for the necessary planning permission is made and granted such works will remain unauthorised and vulnerable to enforcement action regardless what ADC does or does not decide to do with the application made under P/29/21 DOC. The access shown on the plans associated with the application do not describe what was

approved under P/58/15OUT, the works already undertaken on the site and require planning permission in their own right.

Meanwhile the nightmare for local residents caused by the woeful mess that ADC and Drew Smith Homes Ltd have made of implementing the only OPP granted for the site under P/58/15 OUT continues so whatever ADC does decide to do or not do so as to sort out this mess they would appreciate it being done quickly.

Thank you for considering my representation.

Paul Collins BA (Hons) DipTP



paul collins

To: 'Simon Davis'; 'Neil Crowther'; 'Karl Roberts'
Subject: FW: Adopted road alterations

FYI re P/29/21 DOC AND P/97/20 PL

Paul Collins BA (Hons) DipTP

From: Brian Biermann [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2021 3:55 PM
To: paul collins [REDACTED]
Subject: Adopted road alterations

Hi Paul

I spoke to the Markline foreman on 24/02/21 asking him why the works have stopped by the junction. He told me that the drawings they had were not workable, I asked him what he meant and he said that it was to do with the levels of the kerb on the south side, and where the gullies were going to be on the north side BT had their cables running.

He said that Highways and Vectus were going back to the drawing board to redesign the road.

Brian