

Kay Ecclestone
11 Agar rd
St Austell
PL25 3AE

Your Ref: OWPC7629
Our Ref: P/97/15/PL 16-09-2015
Please ask for:
Mr S Davis

18th December 2015

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

Managed breach of shingle spit & blocking of adjacent tidal inlet channel with shingle barrier. Formation of shingle island within Harbour following excavation of adjacent area of spit. This application is accompanied by an environmental statement

Church Norton Spit Pagham Beach Pagham

Thank you for your internet representation made on 17th December 2015 which has been recorded as '**support**' with the comments as follows:

Although I now live in Cornwall, I sailed at Pagham for over 40 years and lived there for 10 years. I still return on a regular basis and each time am horrified by the growth of the spit and what it is doing to the beach and the bay. A PYC member who went on to become the Dart Catamaran World Champion claimed that sailing at Pagham was one of the reasons for his win and that Pagham was the best sailing water in the country. I would have agreed with that statement until 14 years ago when the spit began to form and fundamentally change the bay. It is obvious that if it is allowed to continue there will be a breach of the main beach and as all of the land behind the front is lower than the high tide this will be devastating for the village, inhabitants and trade. The spit has already adversely impacted on holiday trade and the local economy. Each time I visit the scour has effected a part of the beach further to the east of the spit. I appreciate that there are ecological interests but the SSSI is also being adversely impacted. The managed breach must go ahead and it must go ahead soon as any further delay risks damage to housing and further problems to the east of the bay.

Kay F. Ecclestone

Your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

Please be aware that if the application is to be determined by the Council's Development Control committee and your letter has been received at a late stage after the Agenda has been published you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the Council's website or telephone the Council for further information. Please be advised that any comments you make that are not included within the Committee Agenda will be reported to the Members of the Development Control committee. Please also be aware that only a small number of all planning applications received go the Development Control Committee. Most are determined under delegated powers by Officers in which case your comments will again be considered before the application is determined.

Please be aware that Planning Services operate an 'open file' policy and will publish details of your comments on the internet on the Arun District Council Planning section. Please ensure that you only provide information that you are happy will be made available in this way. If you supply information belonging to a third party, please ensure you have their permission to do so. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the 'open file' please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed although this means that its contents will then be disregarded.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Karl Roberts
Director Planning & Economic Regeneration