

MANAGED BREACH OF SHINGLE SPIT AT PAGHAM – Further Information for Planning Application and Marine License.

JUNE 2021.

1.0 Introduction – The Further Information for Planning Application and Marine License report R2614, May 2016 (FIPML) was submitted in support of application P/97/15/PL and this application was granted consent in June 2017. In between the application submission date and the granting of consent there were natural process changes at the site of the proposed development.

1.1 Rather than a managed breach occurring (as consented in June 2017) there was a natural process breach occurred between January 2016 and April 2016. Although this breach did not occur initially at the precise location of the proposed managed breach it evolved by widening to locate near the proposed breach location by June 2017.

1.2 As a consequence the proposed managed breach was effectively established by June 2017 (date of planning consent P/97/15/PL).

1.3 The Planning Authority deemed the development location conditions in June 2017 did not materially affect the conclusions and application of results from the studies, investigations and consultations undertaken for the application and consent was therefore granted.

1.4 The experience and knowledge gained from the natural breach evolution up to June 2021 has informed the present application (P/93/21/PL). as a consequence the present application seeks consent for a much smaller intervention (15% by volume of shingle to be removed to form the breach compared to that included in application P/97/15/PL.

1.5 The revised proposal for a managed breach is presented in section 5.0 of the Pagham Adaptive Management Report (PAMR) No.1 – May 2021. A copy of this report is attached to this Further Information Report (FIPML).

2.0 Executive Summary (p(ii) to (v) of FIPML) is to apply here subject to the following –

- Recent Changes on Site and Implications and Project Objectives to be replaced by sections 2.0, 3.0 and 4.0 of PAMR No1.

3.0 Section 1 – Introduction (p1 of FIPML) - section 1.1 does not apply to this application but further information requested does apply subject to the following –

- sections 1.2 to 1.4 (p1 to 9 of FIPML) apply to this application;
- sections 1.5.1, 1.5.2 to be replaced by section 5.0 of PAMR No1;
- sections 1.5.3, 1.5.4, 1.5.6 and 1.5.7 apply to this application;
- section 1.5.5 to be replaced by section 6.0 of PAMR No1.

4.0 Sections 2, 3 and 4 (p20 to 49 of FIPML) to apply to this application (P/93/21/PL) subject to the following –

- the reduced scale of the proposed managed breach (volume of shingle to be moved to be reduced to 15% of the volume considered for impacts in this section of FIPML) causing reduced impacts to the environment and archaeology of the area.

5.0 Figures 1 to 5 of FIPML to apply to this application as follows –
Figure 1 – to apply as presented with the proposed access to the alternative Tern Island location shown on Drawing B (PAMR No1);

Figure 2 – to be replaced by Drawing A (PAMR No1);

Figure 3 – to apply in conjunction with Drawing B (PAMR No1);

Figure 4 – to be replaced by Drawing A (PAMR No1).

In addition –

Figure 5 – to apply in conjunction with Drawing B (PAMR No1);

6.0 Appendix B – Archaeological Desk Based Assessment of FIPML to apply to this application with the addition -

- Either of the Tern Island creation options to be carried out without any excavation of existing harbour sea bed with rodent protection of nesting birds to be provided by the alternative method of suitable fencing.