Iris Osbourne

From: Janice Chapman
Sent: 08 October 2013 08:54
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: P/74/13
Attachments: road view 1.JPG; verges road view 3.JPG; verges road view 4.JPG; front 2 lagoon rd.JPG; front 2 lagoon rd.JPG; front 2 lagoon rd.JPG

From [redacted]
Sent: 07 October 2013 16:47
To: Janice Chapman
Cc: paghampc (Chris Braby)
Subject: P/74/13

Dear Mrs Chapman
Further to my letter dated 13th September objecting to this Planning Application on several grounds, please find attached photos in support of my objection to the rear boundary wall. As you can see, the proposed wall would be adjoining the roadside, when in fact it should be several feet back, there should be a natural hedge abutting Well Road as per my photographs.

Could you also please clarify the proposed access to the new garage? It appears to be from Well Road but there's already an existing driveway from Lagoon Road. (as per photo). Access from Lagoon Road would be the more neighbour friendly option, especially as there's an ongoing land dispute with Pagham Beach Holdings Ltd.

With reference to the Removal of the Fence Appeal. I have spoken to Hayley Butcher at the Planning Inspectorate who informed me that a Site Visit was made in August and the Decision on the Planning Appeal is likely to be given around the end of October.

Whilst writing, I would like to thank No.8 Lagoon Road for her (in my opinion, snobbish) comments, bringing to your attention "The ramshackle & horrendous closely built up appearance of Well Road". As you can see from the photos, Well Road would be much improved if the hedges were to be re-instated, the Static, uninhabited caravan and high wooden fence removed, together with the dumped, large rusting van, broken fence panel and garden rubbish, all belonging to some of the nearby occupants of Lagoon Rd and deposited in Well Rd.

As to the "horrendous closely built up appearance of Well Road", surely a wall built directly adjoining this road would only add to the "horrendous, closely built up appearance"!!

In view of the canvassing carried out by Mr Grace, I do understand comments made by some of the occupants of Lagoon Road in his favour. They must be only too pleased to see some improvement being made to No 2, instead of the empty, barren looking property and the high, 'Colditz' style metal front fence, which has been there for a considerable length of time. Devaluing their properties no doubt. Never-the-less, I feel that if a Dormer bungalow were to be built in front of their properties, they would be 'singing from a different hymn sheet'.

I too would like to see this property renovated but not to the detriment and against the ethos of the Pagham Beach Estate.

Yours sincerely

ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL P/74/13/

Christine Mitchell

ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL P/74/13/

ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL P/74/13/

ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL P 4819

ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL P/74/13/