




From: bryan.butche [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 August 2015 12:37
To: Richard Temple
Subject: Re: Butterlees Barn, Pagham Road P/71/15/HH

As requested

From: Richard Temple [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 21 August 2015, 10:58
Subject: RE: Butterlees Barn, Pagham Road P/71/15/HH

Hi Bryan,

It would need to match this plan (apart from omitting the land no longer owned by the applicant of course).

Regards.



[REDACTED]

From: Bryan Butcher <bryan.butcher@btopenworld.com> [mailto:bryan.butcher@btopenworld.com]

Sent: 21 August 2015 09:33

To: Richard Temple

Subject: Re: Butterlees Barn, Pagham Road P/71/15/HH

I've looked at the previous application although its not totally clear. I attach amendment let me know if its wrong.

From: Richard Temple <[REDACTED]>

To: 'Bryan Butcher' <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thursday, 20 August 2015, 13:08

Subject: RE: Butterlees Barn, Pagham Road P/71/15/HH

Thanks for the quick response Bryan. Can the additional land be edged in blue as it's not household curtilage.

Regards,

Richard Temple [REDACTED]

From: Bryan Butcher <[REDACTED]>

Sent: 20 August 2015 14:06

To: Richard Temple

Subject: Re: Butterlees Barn, Pagham Road P/71/15/HH

They have recently purchased this land hence the removal of the existing outbuilding. The red edge was provided by the client.

Sent from my iPhone

On 20 Aug 2015, at 09:51, Richard Temple [REDACTED]

Dear Mr Butcher,

Am just writing the application up for approval. The only element that needs changing is the red line site as the large section of land to the north of the site is shown red-edged

but in approving that plan we'd be regularising it as garden, which from looking at past applications it is not.

Would you be able to amend the plan and send in to me? Otherwise the scheme is acceptable.

Regards,

Richard Temple |

