

[REDACTED]

From: Amanda Scholes on behalf of Planning.Responses
Sent: 23 November 2018 08:19
To: Planning Scanning
Subject: FW: P/140/16 OUT & P/134/16 OUT,
Importance: High

From: David Easton
Sent: 22 November 2018 15:45
To: Planning.Responses
Subject: FW: P/140/16 OUT & P/134/16 OUT,
Importance: High

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: paul collins [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 November 2018 18:54
To: Neil Crowther; David Easton; Karl Roberts
Cc: Planning.Responses
Subject: P/140/16 OUT & P/134/16 OUT,
Importance: High

Dear All

I draw your attention to the following available on the CDC web site and ask you to consider carefully whether or not P/140/16 OUT and P/134/16 OUT should be referred back to the DCC so that they may reconsider their resolution to grant conditional planning permission in the light of these previously unconsidered material considerations:

- The Inspectors report into the CDC Site Allocation Development Plan Document dated 26 October 2018 and the related Habitats Regulations Assessment dated August 2018
- The Preferred Approach version of the Chichester Local Plan Review 2035 approved by their Cabinet on 14 November 2018
- The CDC HELAA 2018 with particular reference to North Mundham and
- Chichester councillors indicate northern route preference for A27 improvements news item dated June 2018

These are material to the assessment of cumulative impact issues arising with regard to the SPA and the wider road network and Junction improvements you are considering at Pagham and seem to be at odds with the advice given by ADC, WSCC and HE officers on these issues to date.

Please also place this e mail on the files for P/25/17 OUT, P/134/16 OUT, P/6/17 OUT and p/125/17 out

Thanks

Paul Collins BA (Hons) DipTP MRTPI

[REDACTED]

