

-----Original Message-----

From: Sally Arnhold [REDACTED]

Sent: 22 February 2017 18:36

To: Karl Roberts

[REDACTED]
Subject: P/6/17/OUT (Hook Lane)

Dear Mr Roberts,

I wish to object to the above planning application because of all the reasons that people are currently writing to you about, but also, because 18 years ago another similar planning application for the Hook Lane site (P/14/99) was turned down by ADC on appeal.

In the letter I wrote on 17th March 1999, the seven reasons for my objection to Planning Application P/14/99 Hook Lane, still stand true today. And several points such as traffic, amenities etc have worsened considerably in the last 18 years.

There was so much public interest in the 1999 planning application (I think I recall over 1000 letters of objection were received by ADC), that ADC, at considerable expense, hired a London barrister to fight for a rejection of the planning application on appeal. Not only that, there was an unprecedented situation, where the appeal hearing was transferred to Pagham Village Hall, to ensure that as many residents as possible could have their say, particularly the older ones.

So if ADC were to approve the planning application now, it would be a complete waste of their time, effort, money and rate payers goodwill in 1999.

Only a few days ago I saw a newspaper article where Sadik Kahn was urging London Councils not to be swayed by planning gain from developers. In a similar way I urge ADC to resist all the Pagham planning applications.

We have had enough over development of Pagham in the 60's. When we agreed to the planning application on Summer Lane, we were led to believe that we had satisfied the government's housing requirement in Pagham. We did not expect

to be bombarded with three further planning applications involving 1330 plus houses on our arable greenfield sites. Whatever happened to our strategic gap? It must be preserved for future generations.

Yours sincerely,

Sally Arnhold,
Rookery Farm, Pagham Road

Sent from my iPad