

ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL

20 FEB 2017

RECEIVED

M. HARWOOD

10, OLD BARN CLOSE

PAGHAM

P.O. 21. 3. 4. 6.

18-2-17.

P. 134 16. OUT SEFTER ROAD

P. 140. 16. OUT PAGHAM ROAD

P. 6 17. OUT HOOK LANE

FLOOD RISK

TRAFFIC ON OUR

COUNTRY ROADS.

LOSS OF GRADE 2 FARMLAND

INCREASED PRESSURE ON

DOCTORS, SCHOOLS, DENTISTS

HOSPITALS. DAMAGE TO


THE ECO STRUCTURE.

M. HARWOOD

18/FEB/2017.

SAVE PAGHAM.

F. H. HARWOOD.



10, OLD BARN CLOSE
MILL FARM EST.

PAGHAM.

REF

PO 21349.

P/134/16/OUT. SETTER ROAD,
P/140/16/OUT. PAGHAM ROAD.
P/6/17 OUT. HOOK LANE


DEAR SIR,

TRAFFIC ON OUR ROADS;

LOSS OF GRADE 2 FARM LAND.

DOCTOR, DENTIST SCHOOLS
& HOSPITALS, DAMAGE TO OUR
WAY OF LIFE, ECO STRUCTURE
"UNSUSTAINABLE"

F. H. HARWOOD.



ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL

20 FEB 2017

RECEIVED

Mr B Cox
11 Kings Drive
Bognor Regis
PO21 4PY

Dear Sir.

I am objecting against the
Planning applications

P/134/16 OUT SEFTAR RD

P/140/16 OUT PAGHAM RD

P/6/17 OUT Hook Lane

Apart from the increased pressure on
Doctors Dentist Schools and
Hospitals, the roads are already
grid locked at peak times trying
to access Chichester on the
A27 towards Portsmouth or Worthing.
The A259 is one big traffic jam
with cars as people try to get

to work. The roads can not cope with the increase of cars if these new houses are built, 1330 houses, if each house has one car that is 1330 more cars on the road. If the new owners have teenage children of working age this would increase this number. Unless all the new owners are retired, they will have to travel to their employment, as there are few job vacancies in the local area. As for the promise of new Doctors Surgeries being built, where are the Doctors to come from.

There is a nationwide shortage GPs. Grove House Surgery have been unable to recruit replacements.

for doctors who have retired or left for other reasons. This area is unable to sustain any development of this size. With regards to Hook have a planning application to build there was rejected by the Government Planning Inspector some years ago.

The reasons for this decision then still apply now and have worsened over the years.

Yours sincerely



17th February 2017

ARUNTESHER COUNCIL

20 FEB 2017

RECEIVED

14 Sea Way
Pagham
Bognor Regis
West Sussex
PO21 4UF

Assistant Director of Planning

Dear Sir,

I am writing to object to the proposed building developments in the Pagham area:

- a) Sefter Road (P/134/16/OUT)
- b) Pagham Road (P/140/16/OUT)
- c) Hook Lane (P/6/17/OUT)

on the grounds that they are unsustainable. The sites are historically part of the flood plain and building on them would cause problems with drainage and would extend the area of flooding.

The local roads are narrow country roads and are already crowded. The extra homes would increase the number of cars using them, causing more problems especially at peak times.

The land at the moment is agricultural and the loss of this food production capacity now that we are no longer members of the European community is economically foolish.

The increased pressure on local facilities - doctors, hospitals and schools would be too big for services that are already overstretched. The developers have promised to build schools and surgeries but the staffing of these would be a problem as the staffing of our present facilities are already a problem.

I realise that we need more houses to cope with our population but I am sure there are brownfield sites which are not affected by the problems listed above.

Yours faithfully



MY OBJECTIONS APPLY TO

ALL SITES -

P/134/16/OUT

P/140/16/OUT

P/6/17/OUT

MR & MRS SIMPSON

48 HOOK LANE

PAGHAM PO21 3PD

ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL

20 FEB 2017

RECEIVED


PLANNING PROPOSAL TO BUILD NEW HOUSES IN HOOK LANE PAGHAM

I wish to express my concern regarding the above proposal for a number of reasons as follows.

The proposed site is a field which is susceptible to flooding following modest rainfall and after any heavy rain the flooding can be substantial and on occasions across Hook Lane. The local roads, lanes rather roads really, are narrow and crowded with the result that they have become the most dangerous in the locality as recorded accident reports will show. The local farming community use these lanes already with large tractors and trailers which add the risk of incidents as traffic attempt to pass. Traffic volumes are already high as cars seek to avoid the delays on the A259 to Chichester with the result that local gridlocks often occur.

As with any development the supporting infrastructure will be put under pressure. The existing doctor's surgeries have great difficulty in meeting the demands of the current population and local schools have overly full classes already. Should it be necessary to take children further afield it will add to the pressure on the lanes.

On a practical basis the water pressure here is already very low and any development will worsen the problem and in turn require substantial improvements in the sewage system. In essence the proposed development causes great concern locally and I am sure a more appropriate site can be identified.



MARY SIMPSON.

J. E. NAYLE
12 BARLEY CROSE
MILL FARM
PAGHAM
PO21 3UD

17th February 2017

Asst. Director of Planning,
Arun Civic Centre,
Maltsters Road,
Littlehampton. BN17 5LF.

ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL

20 FEB 2017

RECEIVED

Please note my objections to the proposed building
of Houses at HOOK LANE - Ref. P/6/17/OUT HOOK LANE.


It would increase traffic on country roads, loss of grade 2
farmland, increased pressure on doctors, dentists, schools
and hospitals.

It would destroy the life, and peace and quiet, of the
Historical village of Pagham.

The proposed planning is completely unacceptable,
and always has been, and my objection also includes
the building plans for:


SEFTON ROAD - P/124/16/OUT

PAGHAM ROAD - P/140/16/OUT.



17/8/2017

✓



Ms. Marilyn Hamber.
197 Pagham Road
Bognor Sussex.
PO 21.4NJ.

10th February 2017

Dear Sir/Madam.

I wish to make my feelings felt on the Unsustainable effect that the 3 developments will have on Pagham & Aldwick.

Point 1) Increase in Traffic on Winding Country Roads i.e. Pagham Rd. junctions of Hook Lane and Softer Rd. Many a time there have been several accidents at these points. With 1330 plus homes this will surely multiply.

Point 2) Historical and increased risk of flooding, by the vast creep of Concrete on arable fields that have at the moment natural drainage and ditches, this lessens flood risk.

Point 3) Loss of Grade 2 farmland which to my knowledge have been farmed to produce food products for many years. Thus making many people job loss, and reducing Production of Various Vegetables.

2).

Point 4) Damage to the eco-structure which we all value so much. Also the ethos of the villages will be lost and the pleasure of village life. Which I am proud to be a member of.

Point 5) If these 3 proposed developments are allowed to go ahead, what will people do when the pressure is increased on doctors, dentists, hospitals & schools?

As you may be aware these services are pushed to the limits now!!

I feel all these valued points apply to all three sites.

P/134/16/out Leptis Road.

P/140/16/out Pagham Road.

P/6/17 out Hook Lane.

Which is why I urge you to reject the wide scale devastation of our Arable farmland and much loved Village Life.

I am sure this will effect the tourism to our quaint villages. The Season for visitors is from March to October, Plus a large number of bird watches all year. People visit here to indulge in countryside and village atmosphere.

Please take on board all these points when revising the planning applications.

③

For the three sites.


Many thanks.

yours sincerely.

Marilyn Harber (MK)



Arun District Council
Planning Dept.
17th. February 2017



20 Elbridge Crescent
Bognor Regis
West Sussex PO21 4JQ

Ref: Application No. P/140/16/OUT
P/6/17/OUT

Dear Sirs,

I would like to put in place my serious objection to these proposed housing developments (Bartons Farm ... Pagham Rd. and Hook Lane) for the following reasons :

It is my genuine belief that these developments are both unsustainable, even more so when linked with the planning application for Barfoots which would involve a marked increase in heavy vehicle movements on unclassified or "B" type roads.

I am aware (for the record) that the lower Pagham Road from Chichester, the B2166 turns LEFT at the Royal Oak pub and continues, to meet up with the Chalcraft Lane roundabout, and hence onto Bognor Regis. ((Pagham Road was declared the most dangerous "C" class road in the County (West Sussex Highways and Transport Sub-Committee 2012) , taken from Pagham Neighbourhood Development Plan proposal Page 15 September 2014)) The road that goes straight on PAST the Royal Oak pub is unclassified, its road number is the C44, and this section takes all the heavy vehicle movements to Barfoots, and would also have the Sefter Road (application in), as well as the proposed Hanbury (Summer Lane, application now in) and Hook Lane (proposed development) traffic added to it if they all achieved their goal of Outline Planning permission.. But I know planning at ADC are only interested in actual in place planning applications, but Sefter and Hanbury are now on us, and Barfoots expansion and the additional HGV movements another. Add to this the 2 developments at Summer Lane and this cumulatively adds up to a very serious increase in traffic using an unclassified road. The developers in their traffic document also seem to have ignored the 1000 or so static caravan homes at Church Farm which during the season produce even more increased traffic movements.

Flooding has always been a serious issue historically (think 2012) and recently more evidence has come to light about the structure of the land which does not cope well with excesses of ground water. The WSCC report into the 2012 floods give an insight into the mess the area got into, and in some ways makes for unpleasant reading. It is known that the treatment works at Summer Lane is close to capacity, and the Sefter Rd., Hook Lane and Summer Lane developments would exacerbate this.

The land that is proposed to be built on is still Grade 2 arable farming land which should be considered precious in a world of dwindling resources, surely we should continue farming this instead of importing product from other countries, which in turn makes us more reliant on imports when we have the land already in place.

I am also very concerned about the impact on wildlife in this area that we all cherish, some obvious and some not. We are privileged to have Pagham Harbour on our

doorstep, as well as deer, myriads of wild birds, bats and many other varieties of wildlife, all of which rely on somewhere to survive in a natural environment. Our children have the right to continue enjoying this natural resource without pressure from unwanted additional development.

The local infrastructure is already under serious pressure, I find it difficult to book an appointment at the doctors, dentists are close to capacity, hospitals, and by no means last ... schools. Having land to build a school on is wonderful but as far as I am aware, WSCC do not have the resources to build schools "as and when", and the emphasis by developers seems to be on Primary level, yet our Secondary/Academy schools are at full stretch so nowhere to go from Primary ?? I have been told by ADC that if a builder failed to materialise to construct such a school, then a developer could apply after the event to fill that "gap" with more houses and that in itself could be approved thus increasing the amount of housing on the site.

I do not understand why we need all this additional housing in the Arun area, all these additional "residents" might require employment (plus the assumed benefits above), but where Portsmouth, London, Brighton et al have to be reached by some means of transport, and with the lack of reliable means of public connections (the railways are a sore point at the moment), then it's down to the roads AGAIN. We do not really have an established employment base in the Arun area apart from retail, farming (!!), and some engineering though generally very specialised.

It is my considered opinion that when all the above points are treated cumulatively, then it results in proposed developments that are UNSUSTAINABLE, and having read the foreword of the NPPF document by the Government, then I feel my concerns are justifiable. We, the residents of Pagham and Aldwick demand that the elected councillors on ADC stand up for us and not the Government, we need them to fight for our parishes and thus leave our "green fields" as they are, and not concreted over.

Yours Sincerely,



3 Beech Close
Mill Farm Estate
Pagham
Bognor Regis
West Sussex
PO21 3FA

ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL

20 FEB 2017

RECEIVED

Asst. Director of Planning
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
BN17 5LF

Dear Sirs,

I refer to the following Planning Applications Numbers to which I totally disagree and completely reject to, for the reasons I list:

P/1.34/16/0UT (Setter Rd.) 1st April

P/140/16/0UT (Pagham Rd.) 1st April

P/6/17/0UT (Hook Lane) 1st April

Historical & increased flood risk, traffic on country roads, loss of grade 2 farmland, increased pressure on doctors, dentists, schools & hospitals. Damage to the Eco structure.


These developers are only in it for their own profit never mind the local residents and the inconvenience to them. There applications make no mention to increase the infrastructure which is already under great pressure.

Please be wise and reject the for mentioned applications without hesitation. The entire application is totally UNSUSTAINABLE.

Yours sincerely



Mr. D Powell (Douglas)
Date: 18th February 2017



3 Beech Close
Mill Farm Estate
Pagham
Bognor Regis
West Sussex
PO21 3FA

ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL

20 FEB 2017

RECEIVED

Asst. Director of Planning
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
BN17 5LF

Dear Sirs,

I refer to the following Planning Applications Numbers to which I totally disagree and completely reject to, for the reasons I list:

P/1.34/16/0UT (Setter Rd.) 1st April

P/140/16/0UT (Pagham Rd.) 1st April

P/6/17/0UT (Hook Lane) 1st April

Historical & increased flood risk, traffic on country roads, loss of grade 2 farmland, increased pressure on doctors, dentists, schools & hospitals. Damage to the Eco structure.

These developers are only in it for their own profit never mind the local residents and the inconvenience to them. Their applications make no mention to increase the infrastructure which is already under great pressure.

Please be wise and reject the for mentioned applications without hesitation. The entire application is totally UNSUSTAINABLE.

Yours sincerely



Mrs J Powell (Jennifer)
Date: - 18th February 2017



4 Beech Close
Mill Farm Estate
Pagham
Bognor Regis
West Sussex
PO21 3FA

Asst. Director of Planning
Arun Civic Centre
Maltravers Road
Littlehampton
BN17 5LF

Dear Sirs,

I refer to the following Planning Applications Numbers to which I totally disagree and completely reject to, for the reasons I list:

P/1.34/16/0UT (Setter Rd.) 1st April

P/140/16/0UT (Pagham Rd.) 1st April

P/6/17/0UT (Hook Lane) 1st April

Historical & increased flood risk, traffic on country roads, loss of grade 2 farmland, increased pressure on doctors, dentists, schools & hospitals. Damage to the Eco structure.

These developers are only in it for their own profit never mind the local residents and the inconvenience to them. Their applications make no mention to increase the infrastructure which is already under great pressure.

Please be wise and reject the for mentioned applications without hesitation. The entire application is totally UNSUSTAINABLE.

Yours sincerely

Mrs M Williams (Mary)
Date: - 18th February 2017