

From: paul collins [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 June 2021 11:16
To: Karl Roberts <Karl.Roberts@arun.gov.uk>; Neil Crowther <Neil.Crowther@arun.gov.uk>; Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>
Cc: Cllr. June Hamilton [REDACTED] Cllr. David Huntley [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Cllr. Hugh Coster [REDACTED] Cllr. Terence Chapman [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Complaint re Road Traffic Temp Closure Notice - Ref no 33830 and P/69/21/CLP

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear All

See below and please also note that the *construction of a temporary access haul road across land attributed to public open space, from Pagham Road, via the Pagham United Reform Church car park and. Access via the car park into the field* is not as a matter of fact and law permitted development under *Part 4 Class A of the General Permitted Development Order (GPDO) which deals with temporary buildings and structures* as claimed by Drew Smith in their e mail dated 13 May 2021 and the Certificate of lawfulness applied for under P/69/21/CLP may not therefore, be lawfully granted by ADC. Please also note that Drew Smith Homes do not own or control any of the land necessary to implement what they propose and that all the works undertaken and being undertaken to Summer Lane by Drew Smith Homes and in the western field via Summer Lane are not only in breach of the only OPP granted under P/58/15 OUT and its controlling conditions and S106 Obligations but are also proceeding unlawfully contrary to the Temporary closure Notice.

You have been repeatedly asked for these reasons and many others to serve a Temporary Stop Notice under delegated powers in respect of this unauthorised and unlawful development so as to allow time for this complete pigs breakfast to be sorted out by way of a report to a special Planning Committee but you have to date refused to do so.

Please let us know what you do intend to do (if anything) but in the meantime please place this e mail on the files for future reference if required.

Thanks

Paul Collins BA (Hons) DipTP
[REDACTED]

From: Paula Hilton [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 13, 2021 10:56 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] Cllr. June Hamilton <[REDACTED]>; Simon Davis <simon.davis@arun.gov.uk>; Dawn Hall [REDACTED]
Subject: Complaint re Road Traffic Temp Closure Notice - Ref no 33830

Dear Mr Davey,

I tried without success to speak to someone in the Public Rights of Way Team on Friday to seek clarity to the meaning of the closure of Pagham Footpath 100 (part). I was told the Public Rights of Way Team had 48 hours to respond to my request for information which was unhelpful considering I only had about 6 hours notice of the footpath closure. A lady did call me back about 6.30pm on Friday and although she tried to be helpful she was unable to answer any of my queries. I and the residents of Summer Lane have been left all weekend unclear what is happening and what the closure means to us.

I live in what is currently the last house in Summer Lane on the unadopted part of the Lane that is footpath 100. I became aware of the closure notice on Thursday evening 10/6/21 and discovered that it was effective from 11/6/21. I nor any other residents in Summer Lane have received any prior notification of this closure and what it means for us. Surely there should be a notification period before such a closure comes into force? If there is such a notification period why wasn't it followed and why were those affected not written to and pre warned?

Unless I am wrong the closure of Footpath 100 means I cannot access or leave my house to go anywhere on foot for the next 21 days and then a further 6 months. This is not acceptable as I often walk to the local shops. I am also thinking that this closure is technically saying I cannot use the unadopted part of the lane to drive on to access my house as Footpath 100 is the lane which is the road! Surely this cannot be right? How is this ever going to work for us residents, our visitors, delivery of our mail etc? Can you legally do this?

Considering this became effective last Friday I have seen no notices or anything at either end of the lane stating the footpath is closed. The local people are just continuing to use the lane as they always have over this weekend. Cycling, dog walking etc. How exactly is this closure going to be enforced and are there penalties for breaches?

What is more, when I asked one of the supervisors from Markline on Friday about the closure on Friday he told me that he knew nothing about it and that there was no road widening or resurfacing due to take place in the next 21 days? Road widening and resurfacing that would be unauthorised on the unadopted part of the lane I might add because there has been no planning permission granted to widen or increase the height of the road or to alter what has always been footpath 100. Could you please explain why this footpath closure has come into force when the Developer has no knowledge of it and stated to me it wasn't necessary? Also would you confirm whether formal approval has to be given to change footpath 100 and whether there would need to be consultation before that happens?

Having this closure notice sprung on us has caused many residents in Summer Lane undue upset and stress especially as on Friday no-one was able to get any information from West Sussex about what the closure means and why it has been sought. This is not acceptable and I would like an explanation as to how this has happened.

I would like this email treated as a formal complaint and will await your formal response.

Your faithfully

Paula Hilton