

[REDACTED]

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 13 July 2021 17:09

[REDACTED]
Subject: P/68/21/PL Amusement Arcade

Dear Mr Griggs,

I just wanted to clarify your current living situation due to some contradictions in the application. Please see below extracts from the Flood Risk Assessment:

“Para 2.1 - The applicant subsequently requires alternative accommodation whilst retaining the current live-work relationship.”

“Para 7.2 - the development to provide managers accommodation will represent an improvement to the flood protection for the site and the applicant who currently resides at 129 East Front Road (attached to the amusement arcade).”

This suggests that the new accommodation in the amusement arcade will be a better situation than the current attached dwelling which I presume you are currently living in.

This appears to be the case given the comments in the submitted Design & Access Statement. This states that there is a 2-bedroom single storey dwelling unit (129 East Front Road) attached to the amusement arcade on the north east elevation which currently serves as your accommodation and is attached via an internal door opening to the amusement arcade. It states that this dwelling is of substandard construction and is owned by your parents who have gained approval for a detached replacement dwelling on the site. You have been given notice to vacate hence the reason for the change of use application.

I am not aware of any recent approval for a new dwelling but I wonder if this refers to the permission under P/37/08 for a replacement bungalow which I understand has been implemented and was completed in 2012. If so then I would be surprised if the replacement dwelling was of substandard construction.

I have also looked back at P/93/14/PL which I previously approved and I see that the submitted Planning Statement stated that:

There was a single storey dwelling attached to the amusement arcade which was demolished under P/37/08 and a new dwelling constructed in its place (not by the applicant of this application). The dwelling was this applicants residential dwelling (rented from relatives) at the time of application and approval of P/107/10 (for managers accommodation). Subsequently the applicant has been renting accommodation on a short term basis until the managers accommodation can be built. Following the construction of P/37/08 it has become apparent that the accommodation approved under P/107/10 cannot be constructed as approved given the proximity of the actual dwelling constructed under P/37/08.

Can you just confirm where you are currently living – is this the bungalow approved by P/37/08 and owned by your parents? If so then I assume that this is not substandard and not attached to the arcade. Can you also confirm that you have been given notice to vacate if this is the case.

I'm sorry for the length of this email and the questions posed but we need to clarify this in order to justify any approval.

I would be grateful for a response by the 20th July.

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI
Principal Planning Officer
Directorate of Place

T: 01903 737874
E: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk



Arun District Council, Civic Centre, Maltravers Rd, Littlehampton, West Sussex, BN17 5LF
www.arun.gov.uk

To register to receive notification of planning applications in your area please go to
www.arun.gov.uk/planning

Arun is a CIL Charging Authority, for more information go to www.arun.gov.uk/cil

Sign up to our newsletter [here](#)

