

Lynnyrd Wolfe

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 16 August 2019 12:01
To: Planning.Responses; Mark Warwick
Subject: FW: P/58/19/PL: Rear of Inglenook Hotel 253-255 Pagham Road Pagham PO21 3QB
Attachments: Inglenook - tree survey and report - version 2.pdf

Planning.Responses – Please see Action Sheet Request

Mark – This has been resubmitted in response to your objection (see email below 23/07/19 @ 15:43) which sets out the reasons.

Kind Regards

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

Sent: 08 August 2019 15:17

Subject: FW: P/58/19/PL: Rear of Inglenook Hotel 253-255 Pagham Road Pagham PO21 3QB

Dear Simon

Please find the revised Tree Survey and Report attached. The only changes to the report are Appendix 6 – the development proposal plan and Appendix 7- the tree protection plan. These reflect the overall change of design and site layout since that addressed by the original report.

Best wishes

Richard

Richard Dollamore

WHAIFBACK

From: Simon Davis

Sent: 23 July 2019 16:03

Subject: RE: P/58/19/PL: Rear of Inglenook Hotel 253-255 Pagham Road Pagham PO21 3QB

Dear Richard,

Thank you for supplying these to me. I will pass them to our Tree Officer and ask him to provide further comments. However, I would be grateful if you could supply a new whole version of the Arb Report with these two amended appendices within it – and mark it with a Rev no or new date. Otherwise, I have to part supersede the existing report and upload the plans as separate substitute items. This could confuse members of the public. Please advise whether this is possible.

Kind Regards



Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

Sent: 23 July 2019 15:43

Subject: P/58/19/PL: Rear of Inglenook Hotel 253-255 Pagham Road Pagham PO21 3QB

Dear Simon

We note that Mark Warwick has submitted an objection on the grounds of impacts on trees.

Unfortunately the tree survey by Beechdown Arboriculture that was submitted with the application was produced in response to a previous layout, which was later amended to respond to the feedback received at the community consultation event and to reduce any potential for impacts on trees. We would therefore be grateful if you could accept the attached revised tree protection plan. This shows that no excavation or construction takes place within the combined RPA and that Unit 1, the nearest dwelling to the retained trees, is now positioned 2m to the west of T2's RPA. The tree protection plan shows that there will not be no intrusion into the RPAs of significant trees wither during construction or occupation of the site.

The consultation response raised concerns that views of significant trees could be obscured. There are currently limited views towards the trees. It is, however, recognised that they contribute to the attractiveness of the site and it is proposed for the hedge to their south to be kept at a height of about 1.2m so that visitors have an uninterrupted view of them as they approach from the east. Residents of the properties to the north will still be able to see the trees above the level of the boundary planting.

I trust that this adequately addresses the issues raised by the tree officer and would be grateful for your confirmation that this is the case.

Best wishes

Richard

Richard Dollamore

WHALEBACK


