

From: Simon Davis
Sent: 16 November 2018 10:39
To: 'paul collins'
Cc: Karl Roberts; Neil Crowther; David Easton; Daniel Vick
Subject: RE: P/58/15/OUT and P/125/14/PL

Dear Mr Collins,

All of the information that you ask for in respect of P/125/14/PL is available on our website under references:

P/19/18/DOC (Re-discharge of condition 07)
P/1/18/DOC (Conditions 10, 14, 15, 16, 18, 21, 22, 26, 31)
P/116/17/DOC (Conditions 7, 8, 9, 17)
P/92/17/DOC (Conditions 32 & 37)
P/33/17/DOC (Conditions 34, 35 & 36)

In short, all pre-commencement and pre-occupation conditions have been discharged.

ADC and the developer will also fulfil their duties in respect of the legal Agreement.

As regards P/58/15/OUT, I am not aware of any timescales for a reserved matters submission.

Kind Regards

Simon J Davis MRTPI | Principal Planning Officer, Planning Department, Directorate of Place, [Arun District Council](#) |
Location: Planning, First Floor, Arun Civic Centre, Maltrovers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF
Internal: 37874 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737874 | E-mail: simon.davis@arun.gov.uk
Please note that I typically work from home on Thursdays when I am unreachable on my work phone

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: paul collins [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 November 2018 14:03
To: Karl Roberts; Neil Crowther; David Easton; Simon Davis; Planning.Responses
Subject: P/58/15/OUT and P/125/14/PL

Mr Davis

I act for Pagham Parish Council in this matter.

I refer to the above outline and detailed planning permissions both of which are subject to S106 Agreements and pre commencement conditions.

It is noted that development pursuant to detailed planning permission P/125/14 PL has now commenced and is nearing completion with first occupation likely to be imminent. Therefore my client requests that ADC confirms whether or not all pre commencement conditions have been complied with and whether or not ADC and the other parties have or will fulfill their obligations under the agreement.

My client also asks that in view of the fact that P/58/15/OUT was granted to Hanbury Properties whether or not ADC is aware or been advised when the reserved matters are likely to be submitted in respect of the OPP granted.

As you know although not referenced in the Officer reports, both these planning permissions and when (and/or whether) they will deliver the 140 houses proposed in accordance with conditions and S106 Agreements are material considerations with regard to applications P/6/17 OUT, P/140/16 OUT and P/134/16 OUT as part of the ongoing debates regarding the cumulative impacts of development in Pagham on the Pagham Harbour SPA and the wider transport network/junctions in Chichester District and the lawfulness and enforceability of S106/Conditions debate but do not seem to have been considered thus far.

Therefore please put this e mail and any response on these files for future reference if required.

Thanks

Paul Collins BA (Hons) DipTP MRTPI



RTPI

Chartered Town Planner