

Katherine Rawlins

From: lilleyarchitecture [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 January 2012 16:27
To: Katherine Rawlins
Subject: RE: P/51/11 Extension, alteration and new detached garage 7 Webb Close Pagham
 Dear Miss Rawlins

Thank you for your comments I am glad you feel the mono roof more appropriate.

The horizontal cladding is picked up from the horizontal cladding on the existing front and rear elevations (front door infill and rear infill panels on both ground and first floors). The intension is to replace these tired UPVC cladding panels with new more attractive horizontal cladding (either timber or fibre cement) that could be extended across the extension. This linking material (the horizontal cladding) then allows us to introduce the render on the ground floor of the extension as the two materials complement each other well. The property also benefits from being at the end of the terrace.

I am disappointed regarding your comments on the terrace and feel there is a case for supporting my revised proposals especially considering the current external stairs provides a similar outlook anyway. However I will discuss re-positioning the terrace entirely to the side with my client. Before I do so can you clarify that if the terrace is to the side there will be no need for any privacy screen as there will be no greater incidence (in fact a reduction due to the loss of the original external stairs) of overlooking than experienced from the original dwelling.

Many thanks
 Roger

lilleyarchitecture.com

Roger Lilley RIBA
 232 southwood road
 hayling island
 hampshire
 PO11 9QQ

From: Katherine Rawlins [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 January 2012 14:34
To: lilleyarchitecture
Subject: RE: P/51/11 Extension, alteration and new detached garage 7 Webb Close Pagham

Dear Mr Liley,

Thank you for the amended plans and proposals for No. 7 Webb Close.

Having reviewed the plans, the addition of the mono pitch roof over the extension is considered to be an improved in design terms from the original scheme. This echoes the distinct character and appearance of this row of terraces.

However, I note the choice of materials of render and horizontal cladding, which does not reflect those of the main dwelling (yellow brick and tile hanging). Please could you explain the choice of materials?

I note the omission of the detached garage and the re-positioning of the balcony to the side with rearward projection of 1 metre. I do have concerns regarding the rear projection of the balcony, even at this reduced depth, given the change in levels and the impact on the property at the rear, which is a bungalow. I would therefore suggest that the balcony is re-positioned entirely to the side and that a privacy screen is provided to prevent any overlooking.

I trust these comments are of assistance. Please be advised that any views or opinions expressed are given without prejudice to the consideration by the Council of any formal planning application, which will be subject to wider consideration and publicity.

Regards,

Katherine Rawlins

Senior Planner
Arun District Council
www.arun.gov.uk

From: lilleyarchitecture [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 January 2012 11:26
To: Katherine Rawlins
Subject: RE: P/51/11 Extension, alteration and new detached garage 7 Webb Close Pagham

Dear Miss Rawlins

Best regards for 2012.

Further to our brief conversation towards the end of last year, please find attached the amended proposals.

As discussed with Mr Parsons previously (see email thread below) I have rearranged the balcony in order to significantly reduce its rear projection. It now only projects 1m in order to allow access to the balcony now positioned to the side of the property.

The roof line of the extension has also been altered to echo the rhythm of the roofs along the terrace more strongly. I hope you concur this resolves the extension quite neatly.

Finally the garage outbuilding has been removed from the proposals.

I hope the revisions will gain your support for the proposals, and look forward to your comments.

Kind regards

Roger

lilleyarchitecture.com

Roger Lilley RIBA
232 southwood road
hayling island
hampshire
PO11 9QQ
[REDACTED]

From: Katherine Rawlins [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 September 2011 15:32
To: lilleyarchitecture
Cc: Eve Hearsey
Subject: RE: P/51/11 Extension, alteration and new detached garage 7 Webb Close Pagham

Dear Mr Lilley,

Thank you for your email. I confirm that I am now the main point of contact for the planning application and that the above application will be withdrawn, as per your email of 16th September 2011.

Regards,

Katherine Rawlins
Senior Planner
Arun District Council

From: lilleyarchitecture [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 September 2011 15:28
To: Jonathan Parsons; Katherine Rawlins
Cc: Eve Hearsey
Subject: RE: P/51/11 Extension, alteration and new detached garage 7 Webb Close Pagham

Dear Miss Rawlins,