

[REDACTED]

Nicola Spencer | Technical Support Manager, Planning Department, Arun District Council | Location: First floor, Arun Civic Centre,
Maltravers Road, Littlehampton, BN17 5LF

Internal: 37446 | External: +44 (0) 1903 737500 ext 37446 | E-mail: nicola.spencer@arun.gov.uk

Visit Arun's web site at www.arun.gov.uk.



Save the environment - think before you print.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 June 2018 13:00
To: Planning
Subject: Planning Application No: P/49/18/HH

For the attention of Mr S Davis, Case Officer

6th June 2018

Mr F and Mrs S J Casanovas
35 Conway Drive
Bognor Regis
West Sussex
PO21 4XD

Dear Sir

Reference: PLANNING APPLICATION NO P/49/18/HH

Proposed erection of two storey infill extension to front elevation and 1st floor infill extension to rear elevation of 39 Conway Drive, Pagham, PO21 4XD by Mr M Hanley.

We write in connection with the above planning application. We have examined the plans and know the site well living in a similar house in Conway Drive. We wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

Conway Drive has currently two types of housing, single storey bungalows and two storey three bedroomed part terraced type houses. The house in question is sited within a row of nine of these two storey houses, four of which are identical in style built with yellow bricks to exterior, house number 39 is sited in the middle of these four. Infilling will ruin the character and have an adverse visual impact on this row of houses. When we moved into our house in 2014 our attention was drawn to the deeds where it clearly stated that there should be no boundary fences or walls erected as it would ruin the open appearance of the street. We would suggest that changing the appearance of number 39, and as a consequence house number 41, would totally change the look of the street and may also kick start a cascade effect and other street occupants may follow suit. All of the four houses, numbers 35-41, and indeed the other five red bricked versions numbers 25-33, have front rooms to both the ground and first floor elevations that are currently not connected to another property. As these houses are constructed internally using breeze blocks instead of bricks they are not well soundproofed and the two front facing rooms do give a little respite from neighbour noise audible in other areas of the property. And surely the proposed construction would

impact negatively on the market value of house number 41 as it will become totally terraced and not part terraced as in its current state.

Similarly the proposed first floor infill extension to the rear of the property will change the appearance of the said houses. One other house in the row of nine has indeed closed in the current balcony area but its use is retained as a conservatory type area and not a living area ie; kitchen. This again will impact on number 41 and if approved could encourage other property owners to do the same thereby completely changing the appearance of these currently unique properties.

We would be grateful if our comments could be taken into account when considering the above mentioned planning application.

Yours faithfully

F and Mrs S J Casanovas