

Mr F and Mrs S J Casanovas
35 Conway Drive
Bognor Regis
West Sussex
PO214XD

Your Ref: OWPC18630
Our Ref: P/49/18/HH 30-04-2018
Please ask for:
Mr S Davis

7th June 2018

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Town & country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

2 storey infill extension to front elevation & 1st
floor infill extension to rear elevation.

39 Conway Drive Pagham

Thank you for your internet representation made on 6th June 2018 which has been recorded
as '**an objection**' with the comments as follows:

For the attention of Mr S Davis, Case Officer

6th June 2018

Mr F and Mrs S J Casanovas

35 Conway Drive

Bognor Regis

West Sussex

PO21 4XD

Dear Sir

Reference: PLANNING APPLICATION NO P/49/18/HH

*Proposed erection of two storey infill extension to front elevation and 1st floor infill
extension to rear elevation of 39 Conway Drive, Pagham, PO21 4XD by Mr M Hanley.*

*We write in connection with the above planning application. We have examined the plans
and know the site well living in a similar house in Conway Drive. We wish to object to the
proposed development on the following grounds:*

Conway Drive has currently two types of housing, single storey bungalows and two storey three bedroomed part terraced type houses. The house in question is sited within a row of nine of these two storey houses, four of which are identical in style built with yellow bricks to exterior, house number 39 is sited in the middle of these four. Infilling will ruin the character and have an adverse visual impact on this row of houses. When we moved into our house in 2014 our attention was drawn to the deeds where it clearly stated that there should be no boundary fences or walls erected as it would ruin the open appearance of the street. We would suggest that changing the appearance of number 39, and as a consequence house number 41, would totally change the look of the street and may also kick start a cascade effect and other street occupants may follow suit. All of the four houses, numbers 35-41, and indeed the other five red bricked versions numbers 25-33, have front rooms to both the ground and first floor elevations that are currently not connected to another property. As these houses are constructed internally using breeze blocks instead of bricks they are not well soundproofed and the two front facing rooms do give a little respite from neighbour noise audible in other areas of the property. And surely the proposed construction would impact negatively on the market value of house number 41 as it will become totally terraced and not part terraced as in its current state.

Similarly the proposed first floor infill extension to the rear of the property will change the appearance of the said houses. One other house in the row of nine has indeed closed in the current balcony area but its use is retained as a conservatory type area and not a living area ie; kitchen. This again will impact on number 41 and if approved could encourage other property owners to do the same thereby completely changing the appearance of these currently unique properties.

We would be grateful if our comments could be taken into account when considering the above mentioned planning application.

Yours faithfully

Mr F and Mrs S J Casanovas

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further information. Please be advised that any comments received after the the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

All representations are published on our website so please make sure your views are clear and only include information that you are happy for the public to view. Do not include personal information or information from third parties unless you have their permission to do so. Do not include information that is defamatory or breaches equality or other legislation. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the website and on the file, please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed, although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning

permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning