

Dean & Karen Buck
41 Conway Drive
Pagham
Bognor Regis
West Sussex
PO214XD

Your Ref: OWPC18669
Our Ref: P/49/18/HH 30-04-2018
Please ask for:
Mr S Davis

7th June 2018

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Town & country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

2 storey infill extension to front elevation & 1st
floor infill extension to rear elevation.

39 Conway Drive Pagham

Thank you for your internet representation made on 6th June 2018 which has been recorded
as '**an objection**' with the comments as follows:

We wish to object to this proposal in the strongest possible terms. This development would ruin the look of this group of four houses. They have been styled to look like four separate houses from the road, with the front aspect heavily recessed in, giving the appearance of link detached houses - .this plan would not only make the front of No39 look like part of ours (No41) and finish level with it, it would also look seriously out of place amongst the other three. If we had wanted to live in a terraced house we would have bought one. Granting this permission would set a precedent and the four houses could end up looking like one flat fronted block- - rather than the, far more aesthetically pleasing four separate dwellings they look like now. The next objection would be the increased sound level due to the increased living space both at the front and rear of the property attached directly to ours. At the moment there is no physical contact between the properties in the balcony area and the front sections and there is no permanent dwelling space in those two areas This would obviously allow for more noise to be transmitted from one house to the next. These four houses have notoriously poor sound proofing and normal level conversation can be heard in adjacent properties some of which is down to the garages being removed in these houses previously.

- - To have more of next doors house in physical contact with ours can only serve to make the situation worse. And of course to potentially have a mechanical lift fitted on what is at present the outside wall of our study and our 8 year old son's bedroom would be completely unacceptable. It is our understanding that No 39s proposed development which would attach to two walls that are our property would require "party wall agreements" to be signed by us and that any failure to obtain them could result in a claim of criminal damage against the neighbour/builder should they touch or indeed damage our wall without permission. This is something that we have no intention of signing, for the above reasons. Our new neighbour who is applying for this planning permission may own the house but hasn't actually moved in yet and experienced the poor sound proofing these houses suffer with, although it has been mentioned to him previously by us.

Hopefully the planning department will see this proposal for the poorly thought through, aesthetically displeasing and antisocial plan it is and refuse it in full- ..restricting any future changes to these houses to cosmetic and internal ones.

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying

you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further information. Please be advised that any comments received after the the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

All representations are published on our website so please make sure your views are clear and only include information that you are happy for the public to view. Do not include personal information or information from third parties unless you have their permission to do so. Do not include information that is defamatory or breaches equality or other legislation. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the website and on the file, please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed, although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning