

Abi Hudlass
Touchwood
Pagham Road
Lagness
Chichester
PO20 1LL

Your Ref: OWPC23095
Our Ref: P/38/19/PL 09-04-2019
Please ask for:
Mr S Davis

20th May 2019

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

Year round retention of seasonal workers accommodation.

Newlands Nursery Pagham Road Bognor Regis

Thank you for your internet representation made on 19th May 2019 which has been recorded as '**an objection**' with the comments as follows:

I would like to object in the strongest way about the recent permission request for workers caravans to be placed near to our home, 31 caravans housing 186 people.

The repercussions for the area are enormous and we simply do not have the infrastructure to home this many people.

A major factor that the planners should take into account is the main road - B2166. This will add many vehicles (& pedestrian Traffic) to a busy and dangerous section of Pagham Road. Records will show the many many accidents that take place on these bends and you are suggesting adding more cars/vans and pedestrians to the equation will help? Bearing in mind there are no pavements and workers regularly walk to the bus stop and the Royal Oak pub in the other direction. There will be more accidents and responsibility will fall with the planners.

I live on the private farm road that the proposed site has access to. This means that our road will have far more foot traffic than it can cope with from the cut through to Roundstone Newey Nursery. As it is workers cycle and walk along our road to the other caravan site behind Park Farm. Due to their working hours and possible socialising we already have foot traffic in the early morning and late at night noisily walking past - squealing tyres from cars racing up the road. They are noisy - I imagine mostly unintentionally - but we are regularly woken up.. I can only imagine the noise at night from the site will be appalling - having been up to other site and listened I don't need to imagine too hard.

Also, and sadly, the workers already living up the road at the Park Farm site drop an enormous amount of litter, which is left to the locals to clear up. All these extra workers are going to add to this.

I appreciate it has been suggested that these workers are to work at this nursery, but you can not seriously suggest that this nursery will employ them all. We all know that this will be a base from where they will travel to the various sites of work in the area.

We can not accept that the peace and quiet of our homes will be stolen from us. 186 more people is totally outrageous. This will make our lives impossible.

On a purely selfish note, however extremely important to myself and my family, is the obvious effect on the value of our house and our security. We are off the main road, quite hidden from most and an easy target.

Some maybe don't think my next objection is important, but the suggested area was previously designated as a haven for wildlife, the grass left long so that wild flowers grew and bees and bugs could flourish. How sad that when we have all finally realised that we need to look after and treasure our eco system that the planners might agree to destroy some of it.

I can not accept this proposal in any shape or form.

Regards

Abi Hudlass

Ps. The yellow notice for this development has only just appeared on the telegraph post. Surely this is illegal. Residents directly beside this site should have been informed.

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further information. Please be advised that any comments received after the the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

All representations are published on our website so please make sure your views are clear and only include information that you are happy for the public to view. Do not include personal information or information from third parties unless you have their permission to do so. If a complaint is received that permission has not been given for comments included about a third party, the Council reserves the right to redact/remove all relevant information. Do not include information that is defamatory or breaches equality or other legislation. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the website and on the file, please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed, although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning