

Trevor Charlwood
4 Ledra Drive
Pagham
Bognor Regis
West Sussex
PO21 4PF

Your Ref: OWPC24044
Our Ref: P/30/19/OUT 26-03-2019
Please ask for:
Mr D Easton

15th August 2019

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)

Town & country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 - Article 5

Outline application with some matters reserved for the construction of up to 300 No. new homes, a care home of up to 80 beds, D1 uses of up to 4,000 sqm including a 2 form entry primary school, the formation of new means of access onto Hook Lane & Pagham Road, new pedestrian & cycle links, laying out of open space, new strategic landscaping, habitat creation, drainage features & associated ground works & infrastructure. This application may affect the setting of a listed building (resubmission following P/6/17/OUT).

Land north of Hook Lane Pagham

Thank you for your internet representation made on 14th August 2019 which has been recorded as **'an objection'** with the comments as follows:

- 1. The roads to Pagham B2145, B2166 & Pagham Road cannot cope with the inevitable extra traffic. They are 2 lane roads, one lane in either direction & are not wide enough for overtaking.
The roads are used regularly by slow moving vehicles, such as cycles, tractors & large lorries. The roads are particularly busy during rush hours. Plus they service 2 schools & are therefore also busy during school runs.*
- 2. Please provide details & results of research undertaken to confirm roads can cope. If there was any research, what dates & times was it carried out?*
- 3. Existing local GP facilities cannot cope with a large influx of residents.*
- 4. Neither can local dental practices.*
- 5. Same applies for existing schools.*
- 6. Detrimental effect on environment. Remember, in particular, Pagham Nature Reserve - an internationally important wetland site for wildlife.*
- 7. Both David Huntley and June Hamilton, Independent District Councillors for Pagham on the Development Control Committee were excluded from being part of the recent DCC meeting and were thus denied the right to vote, due to 'predetermination'. However, both Richard Bower (Conservative) who used to be the DCC Chairman and John Charles (Conservative) who was the Inner Cabinet Member for Planning both consistently and strenuously argued in previous DCC meetings concerning Hook Lane that it had to go through as it was in the Local Plan. They reiterated as such the same arguments at the meeting on the 7th August and it is my belief they were both heavily predetermined based on past performances. Smacks of double standards. Please explain how this can be allowed.*

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is

recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further information. Please be advised that any comments received after the the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

All representations are published on our website so please make sure your views are clear and only include information that you are happy for the public to view. Do not include personal information or information from third parties unless you have their permission to do so. If a complaint is received that permission has not been given for comments included about a third party, the Council reserves the right to redact/remove all relevant information. Do not include information that is defamatory or breaches equality or other legislation. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the website and on the file, please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed, although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning