

[REDACTED]

From: Hilary Payne [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 May 2019 07:52
To: Simon Davis <Simon.Davis@arun.gov.uk>
Subject: A recommended link from the Application Tracker

Dear Development Control Committee,

Re:P/30/19/OUT

I first knew Ray Radmall, chairman, PPC, in 1999. when the original planning application was put in for Hook Lane. He then objected on grounds of traffic and infrastructure problems. Since then traffic has increased. There is only Rose Green Garage for petrol. He was particularly concerned about the increase in volume to Rose Green lights. My opinion is, it is important for this area to home produce and provide employment. Barfoots were given "notice to quit" but have expanded both here and abroad. China is in need of food. Globally this area is important as it is known for it's climate. Our farmland is precious - once gone, it's gone. Developers come and go. They do not live here.

Residents would have to drive out for work. Most locals have already known Chichester grid-locked.

Climate change is affecting all areas. Our coastline is still at risk.

The Lavant flood alleviation scheme for Chichester uses the rife to Pagham harbour. It was known to flood as far back as Merston and Mundham.

Bats roost and geese forage in this area. The Petra Billings report was accurate as this is a wildlife area.

There are no banks in Rose Green now so traffic flows to Bognor and Chichester have to be used. This is an elderly residential area. Not everyone can use a computer for banking.

Hook Lane has been refused before and should stay so, is my personal opinion.

Thousands of pounds have already been spent on these planning applications. Surely commonsense should prevail.

Kindest Regards,

Hilary Payne
hjpayne