

Jane Richardson
80 Harbour View Road
Pagham
PO21 4RH

Your Ref: OWPC22838
Our Ref: P/30/19/OUT 26-03-2019
Please ask for:
Mr D Easton

9th May 2019

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)

Town & country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 - Article 5

Outline application with some matters reserved for the construction of up to 300 No. new homes, a care home of up to 80 beds, D1 uses of up to 4,000 sqm including a 2 form entry primary school, the formation of new means of access onto Hook Lane & Pagham Road, new pedestrian & cycle links, laying out of open space, new strategic landscaping, habitat creation, drainage features & associated ground works & infrastructure. This application may affect the setting of a listed building (resubmission following P/6/17/OUT).

Land north of Hook Lane Pagham

Thank you for your internet representation made on 8th May 2019 which has been recorded as **'an objection'** with the comments as follows:

I object to the above development, firstly because it is an almost carbon copy of the plan that has been refused for this site and gone to appeal. Is this legal?

My objections are therefore based on the fact that nothing has changed from the previous plan. The sewers in the area continue to be over used and just will not cope with additional housing. They have, in the past, been known to discharge raw sewage into the rife which then progresses to the sea, which is popular for bathing, sailing and other water activities. The schools in the area are at capacity. A new school is due to be built but no guarantee of teachers to man it. Also, where will the secondary school pupils go? A new school at Ford will not be a suitable alternative for those living in Pagham.

There would also be a problem with a Doctors surgery, where a 3 week wait to see someone is the norm. There have already been problems recruiting Doctors for Grove House and a report released today highlights there being a shortage of GPs across the country. Building a new surgery does not mean it will be manned. In turn this reflects on the hospital, St Richards. If people are unable to get a doctors appointment they are more likely to turn up at a and e and a 6 - 8 hour wait to be seen is not unusual. Getting to St Richards at certain times of the day is also a problem due to the present traffic, without the additional housing.

We have some of the best land for growing food in this country. We are being told that Brexit will mean we need to be more self sufficient, that we need reduce our carbon footprint and reduce air pollution, yet this plan suggests building on land which is currently planted for crops. Also, the amount of land that is due to be built on cannot help but raise the water table which will increase flooding.

Church Farm brings a significant amount of business to Pagham. There are a large amount of caravan owners and visitors who enjoy the location but will almost definitely reconsider when they find problems generated by the increase in building. Why maintain a holiday home at significant expense in a place difficult to get to and where the character of the village will have completely changed.

The A27 is notorious for the traffic problems which will be compounded by the cars travelling from the additional housing in Pagham, Bersted and Chichester. How people will get around, particularly during peak hours' and on sunny weekends/school holidays, doesn't bear consideration. This in addition to the amount of movements from the food

producer Barfoots, which are due to increase. The planned changes to the infrastructure in no way compensate for the amount of additional traffic generated.

I am saddened to think how much this plan, and the others for this area, will affect the wildlife - particularly from Pagham harbour. We have heard a recent report on how many species we are due to lose in the foreseeable future and yet are still willing to endanger a nature reserve which is home to several rare birds (and other wildlife).

I appreciate that houses do need to be built but object to so many in one area without the infrastructure. An area that is at present a small, supportive community. The recent election of the two independent candidates in the local hustings shows how much people do care for this village and its future.

As I said previously, I cannot understand how this plan has been allowed to be put forward at this time as nothing has changed from the one previously sent to appeal but, as it has, I reiterate my objections as above.

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further information. Please be advised that any comments received after the the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

All representations are published on our website so please make sure your views are clear and only include information that you are happy for the public to view. Do not include personal information or information from third parties unless you have their permission to do so. If a complaint is received that permission has not been given for comments included about a third party, the Council reserves the right to redact/remove all relevant information. Do not include information that is defamatory or breaches equality or other legislation. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the website and on the file, please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed, although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning