

Michael HAMPTON and Sheila
HAMPTON
4 Seabrook Close
Aldwick
Bognor Regis
West Sussex
PO21 3UT

Your Ref: OWPC22654
Our Ref: P/30/19/OUT 26-03-2019
Please ask for:
Mr D Easton

7th May 2019

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)

**Town & country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 -
Article 5**

Outline application with some matters reserved for the construction of up to 300 No. new homes, a care home of up to 80 beds, D1 uses of up to 4,000 sqm including a 2 form entry primary school, the formation of new means of access onto Hook Lane & Pagham Road, new pedestrian & cycle links, laying out of open space, new strategic landscaping, habitat creation, drainage features & associated ground works & infrastructure. This application may affect the setting of a listed building (resubmission following P/6/17/OUT).

Land north of Hook Lane Pagham

Thank you for your internet representation made on 3rd May 2019 which has been recorded as 'an objection' with the comments as follows:

This Objection to P/30/19/OUT is submitted in 3 parts as the letter written is too long for your comment form in one submission. Clearly your Comment form needs updating to deal adequately with major applications such as this

3 Whilst the delays and damaging gases are a significant issue of concern so is SAFETY. Suppose for a moment that there were no motor vehicles on the Pagham Road, Hunston Road or Vinnetrow Road approaches to A27, then one would expect the injury (including fatal injury) impact on cyclists would be zero or minimal. Then examine the present position based on data supplied by the Transport Consultant for the developers at Pagham (the Consultant being Highgate Transportation in Report TA/02 of July 2017). This Report states that 12 per cent of casualties in the Study Area (largely on the section of road from Pagham to A27 via Vinnetrow Road or B2166) were cyclists. I give the full text of that paragraph from page 15 of the Report here:

2.17 Appendix 7 contains a copy of the accident records, which have been obtained for the whole study area - see Figure 1.2 - for the five year period of July 2011 to June 2016. This shows that there were 173 collisions recorded - with 223 casualties -, 83% of which were slight and only one accident - in 2011- was recorded as a fatal [one fatality accident]. A further summary is provided as follows:

- Of the 173 collisions 72% occurred at a junction (70% at a Give Way arrangement);*
- 7% of collisions involved pedestrians;*
- The weather was recorded as 'fine' in 87% of collisions;*
- 81% of collisions occurred during daylight hours;*
- 12% of collisions were pedal cyclists.*

It is of particular note that whilst 12% of injury accidents involved cyclists; figures for the traffic counts at the time showed that from 3 count locations, on Pagham Road and Hunston Road the total flow of all vehicle counted (at all 3 sites) was 14,498 and of these the total cyclists were 47. Thus 47 cyclists formed 4700/14498 per cent of the total flow and this is 0.324 per cent of the total traffic. Yet the Report records that this minute percentage were involved in 12 per cent of the injury accidents. This clearly shows the road is unsafe at present let alone with more traffic added by further development. The cyclists' accident rate being over 35 times the percentage of cyclists on the road. I fail to

see how anyone can find other than this suggests an unsafe road for those using a cycle. Yet there are a good number of agricultural and horticultural business establishments on these roads that people earning at low wage levels need to travel to for work and at all times of the day and night as goods vehicles collect from these sites at all times in order to get fruit and vegetables to shops and supermarkets quickly and in prime condition. These people to a degree use cycles as the only viable option for them to access their work.

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further information. Please be advised that any comments received after the the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

All representations are published on our website so please make sure your views are clear and only include information that you are happy for the public to view. Do not include personal information or information from third parties unless you have their permission to do so. If a complaint is received that permission has not been given for comments included about a third party, the Council reserves the right to redact/remove all relevant information. Do not include information that is defamatory or breaches equality or other legislation. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the website and on the file, please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed, although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning