

Jan Behr
16 Church Way

PO214QL

Your Ref: OWPC22260
Our Ref: P/30/19/OUT 26-03-2019
Please ask for:
Mr D Easton

23rd April 2019

Dear Sir/Madam

Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)

Town & country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 - Article 5

Outline application with some matters reserved for the construction of up to 300 No. new homes, a care home of up to 80 beds, D1 uses of up to 4,000 sqm including a 2 form entry primary school, the formation of new means of access onto Hook Lane & Pagham Road, new pedestrian & cycle links, laying out of open space, new strategic landscaping, habitat creation, drainage features & associated ground works & infrastructure. This application may affect the setting of a listed building (resubmission following P/6/17/OUT).

Land north of Hook Lane Pagham

Thank you for your internet representation made on 18th April 2019 which has been recorded as **'an objection'** with the comments as follows:

Absolutely nothing has changed since the other outline planning application this developer has submitted currently under appeal, so why are they going for another outline application before the other one has been heard. This application needs to be refused on exactly the same grounds and more as the previous one, it involves the same area and green field which is an active crop field, currently being prepped for crops to grow. The application should be refused because allowing the field to be developed will cost jobs as Barfoots won't be able to farm the field, they won't need the staff. There is no benefit to the development as the schools, doctors surgery, dentists won't be increased to cope, putting lives at risk with an already struggling road without another 300 properties and the increase in vehicles that will come with each property. Increase risk of flooding as this field floods but concrete it over and that amount of water has to go somewhere, either onto the road causing accidents or into the other properties who already have flood risks. Southern water and Portsmouth water can't refuse to supply water or remove sewage from these properties, but the water works is already unable to cope allowing raw sewage to enter the rife and then the sea where people swim. The nature reserve would be affected as Brent Geese, bats, swifts all use the field as a natural stop before entering the reserve. The reserve has protected status which has a 5 mile radius which includes Pagham, Lack of infrastructure is an excellent reason to refuse this application. Pagham does not need properties and would not be able to cope with a minimum of 600 cars, where would the 1200 people work, Pagham is a seaside village, there are no major companies locally for these people to work, they would have to commute on a B road not suitable for 1000's of cars. So, yet again I ask you to refuse this application.

Unfortunately it is not possible for the council to respond to each representation individually but your views will be considered before the application is determined and I shall write to inform you of any decision made.

If the application is to be determined at the Development Control Committee and your letter has been received after the agenda has been published, you will not receive a separate letter notifying you of the date of the meeting and the procedures for public speaking. In such circumstances, it is recommended that you track the progress of the application on the website or telephone for further

information. Please be advised that any comments received after the the Development Control Agenda has been produced will be reported to the meeting. Please also note that only a small number of all planning applications are determined at the Development Control Committee, most are determined by officers under delegated power.

All representations are published on our website so please make sure your views are clear and only include information that you are happy for the public to view. Do not include personal information or information from third parties unless you have their permission to do so. If a complaint is received that permission has not been given for comments included about a third party, the Council reserves the right to redact/remove all relevant information. Do not include information that is defamatory or breaches equality or other legislation. If you do not wish your comments to appear on the website and on the file, please confirm this in writing and I will arrange for it to be destroyed, although this does mean that its contents will be disregarded. For details of what we do with your personal information please refer to our privacy statement comments on planning applications which is available on our website www.arun.gov.uk/planning-privacy-statements.

In the event of a subsequent written representations appeal, against a refusal of planning permission on a householder application, any representations made on the application will be automatically forwarded to the Secretary of State. Unlike all other types of appeal, there will be no further opportunity to comment at the appeal stage.

Yours faithfully

Neil Crowther
Group Head of Planning