

DEVELOPMENT ARCHAEOLOGY SERVICES LTD

SUMMARY REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION [AREAS A AND B] ON LAND TO THE NORTH OF SUMMER LANE, PAGHAM, WEST SUSSEX.

[Site Centred at National Grid Reference: SZ 88967 98558]
[Planning Reference: P/5815/OUT]

PREPARED FOR HANBURY PROPERTIES LTD.



By

Neville Hall BA MIFA
&
C. A. Pine
MA BSc

August 2016

© DAS 2016

List of Contents:

	Summary
1.	Introduction
2.	Site Location and Description
3.	Planning Background
4.	Archaeological and Historical Background
5.	Methodology
6.	Results
6.1	Trench 1
6.2	Trench 2
6.3	Trench 3
6.4	Trench 4
6.5	Trench 5
6.6	Trench 6
6.7	Trench 7
6.8	Trench 8
6.9	Trench 9
6.10	Trench 10
6.11	Trench 11
6.12	Trench 12
6.13	Trench 13
6.14	Trench 14
6.15	Trench 15
6.16	Trench 16
6.17	Trench 17
6.18	Trench 18
6.19	Trench 19
6.20	Trench 20
6.21	Trench 21
6.22	Trench 22
6.23	Trench 23
6.24	Trench 24
6.25	Trench 25
6.26	Trench 26
6.27	Trench 27
6.28	Trench 28
6.29	Trench 29
6.30	Trench 30
7.	Discussion and Conclusions
8.	Acknowledgements
9.	Bibliography

List of Figures:

- Figure 1:** Site location at scale 1:25,000.
- Figure 2:** Site location showing Survey Areas A and B.
- Figure 3:** Plan showing the layout of the archaeological trial trenches in Survey Areas A and B at an original scale of 1:1,500.
- Figure 4:** Plans of **Trenches 1-6** and **8-10** at a scale of 1:100.
- Figure 5:** Plans of **Trenches 11-12, 15, 17-19, 21-22 and 24** at a scale of 1:100
- Figure 6:** Plans of **Trenches 26-28** and **Representative Sections 1-10** at a scale of 1:20.

Appendices

- Appendix 1:** Plates 1-69 [Plates of site area and representative view of Trenches 1-30.
- Appendix 2:** List of Contexts

Summary

An archaeological evaluation involving the excavation of thirty trial trenches was carried out by Development Archaeology Services Ltd (DAS) on and between the 19th-24th of August 2016 all in advance of a proposed new residential development with associated access on land comprising Survey Areas A and B to the north of Summer Lane, Pagham, West Sussex.

This archaeological evaluation provided a thorough and comprehensive sampling of both Area A and of Area B. A total of twenty-one archaeological trial trenches were excavated within Area B to the west and the remaining nine archaeological trial trenches within Area A to the east.

Within Area B, the findings from the archaeological assessment here comprised a simple deposit sequence which consisted of a topsoil horizon and an underlying natural horizon of brickearths. This sequence was recorded throughout this survey area within a total of seven representative sections. A moderate to low density of fragments of prehistoric fire cracked flint was noted within the brickearth horizons.

Other findings within Area B consisted of a number of 19th / 20th century land drains and the remains of modern [mid C20th] north-south orientated ridge and furrow, which was recorded within Trenches 1-2, 4-6, 8 and 10-12.

Within Area A, the findings from the archaeological assessment here comprised an identical simple deposit sequence which consisted of a topsoil horizon and an underlying natural horizon of brickearths. This sequence was recorded throughout this survey area within a total of a further three representative sections. A moderate density of fragments of prehistoric fire cracked flint was noted within the brickearth horizons. Other findings consisted of several further 19th century land drains.

No archaeological activity was identified with either Area B or within Area A.

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Development Archaeology Services Limited [DAS] was commissioned by Hanbury Properties Ltd. to undertake an archaeological field evaluation prior to a proposed new residential development with associated access on land comprising Survey Areas A and B to the north of Summer Lane, Pagham, West Sussex. The gross site area is centred at National Grid Reference SZ 88967 98558. The archaeological evaluation was carried out at the proposed development site on and between the 19th to 24th of August 2016.
- 1.2 This report documents the results of the archaeological evaluation, which was undertaken in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation prepared by DAS. This document was submitted to and approved by Mr. James Kenney, Archaeological Officer of Chichester District Council, [in his capacity as archaeological advisor on planning issues to Arun District Council, the Local Planning Authority [LPA]], prior to the commencement of the on-site works. This approach complies with the principles established in *NPPF: Planning for the Historic Environment: Historic Environment Planning Practice Guide* [Department of Culture, Media and Sport, 2010] and *Standard and guidance for archaeological evaluations* [IFA, 2014].
- 1.3 Copies of this report will be deposited with the clients, Mr James Kenney, the West Sussex Heritage Environment Record [HER] and with the Littlehampton Museum, along with an ordered project archive for long term storage and curation.




Figure 1: Site location at scale 1:25,000. The site is shown outlined in red. [O.S. copyright licence number 100048723].




Figure 2: Site location showing Survey Areas A and B

2. Site Location and Description

- 2.1 The field assessment site is located within two areas of land, which have been designated as Areas A and B respectively, which are both situated to the north of Summer Lane, Pagham, West Sussex. The field assessment site is situated to the west of the western outskirts of the modern town of Bognor Regis and on the low lying Lower Sussex Coastal Plain, at National Grid Reference SZ 88967 98558, and at a height of approximately +5m AOD. The field assessment site is situated within the modern civil parish of Pagham, administrative district of Arun, county of West Sussex [**Figures 1 and 2**].
- 2.2 The field assessment site is situated on deep stoneless silty soils of the Park Gate Series [Soil Survey, 1983]. These deposits in turn overlie aeolian silty drift deposits of brickearth [BGS, 1984].
- 2.3 The gross site area is centred at approximately National Grid Reference: SZ 88967 98558.

3. Planning Background

- 3.1 An application for outline planning permission for a proposed new residential development with associated access within the designated Areas A and B on land to the north of Summer Lane, Pagham, West Sussex, has been submitted to and granted consent by Arun District Council, the Local Planning Authority [Planning Reference: P/5815/OUT].
- 3.2 A prior archaeological desk based assessment study of the area of the development site had been undertaken by DAS in 2014 [Hall & Pine, 2014]. Although this study had identified a low potential for archaeological activity and finds from all periods, it was considered by Mr. James Kenny, Archaeological Officer of Chichester District Council and the advisor on archaeological matters to the Local Planning Authority that the archaeological potential of these sites was such that the Areas A and B should undergo a further stage of archaeological assessment through field evaluation to comprise trial trenching.
- 3.3 Consequently, Mr. James Kenny advised that an archaeological condition be attached to this granting of outline planning consent, which stated that:

No development shall take place within the area indicated until the applicant has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological work in accordance with a Written

Scheme of Investigation which has been submitted by the applicant and approved by the Planning Authority.

- 3.5 It was thus understood that a programme of archaeological field assessment of the Survey Areas A and B was required to be undertaken prior to development taking place here.
- 3.6 Consequently, a Written Scheme of Investigation for an archaeological evaluation of the Survey Areas A and B through trial trenching was subsequently submitted to and approved by Mr. James Kenny on behalf of the Local Planning Authority prior to the commencement of the on-site archaeological works.

4. Archaeological and Historical Background

- 4.1 A prior archaeological desk based assessment study of this proposal site had been undertaken for Hanbury Properties Ltd By DAS in 2014. This archaeological desk based study has not identified any significant archaeological potential within Survey Areas A and B. The study also noted that previous archaeological investigations that had been undertaken by Development Archaeology Services Limited on the Lower Sussex Coastal Plain had produced unexpected discoveries of archaeological activity from the later prehistoric periods [from the Bronze Age and Iron Age periods] through to the Romano-British period. Although there was no direct evidence to suggest this, it was considered possible that similar such unexpected archaeological activity and finds could be encountered on here, though this potential was considered to be low to possibly moderate [Hall & Pine, 2014].
- 4.2 The Survey Areas A and B have been characterised by the West Sussex County Council Historic Environment Record as landscapes which were the product of piecemeal enclosure from the medieval and post-medieval periods. These areas also appeared to lie to the immediate west of the medieval and post-medieval settlements of Pagham, though the study also considered that the potential for archaeological activity and finds from these periods to be encountered was also low [*ibid*].
- 4.3 The study also concluded that such considerations were reinforced by the findings of an archaeological evaluation which took place at Nyetimber Grange in 2002 to the east. These findings consisted of a medieval boundary ditch which yielded residual finds of Bronze Age and Roman pottery along with finds of medieval and post-medieval pottery [*ibid*].

- 4.4 This prior archaeological desk based assessment had identified low potential for possible archaeological activity and finds from the late prehistoric and Roman periods [based on previous experience of archaeological investigations on the Lower Sussex Coastal Plain] and low potential for archaeological activity and finds from the medieval and post-medieval periods. The study also considered that the impact of development on low archaeological potential was low, and the potential impact of development on high archaeological potential was high based on currently available data [*ibid*].
- 4.5 The study also concluded that the setting of the Grade II listed Nyetimber House to the east and of the Grade II listed Martins Cottage and Summer Lane Cottage also to the east would be material considerations in respect of any proposed residential development on this field assessment site. The study also considered that there would be no impact from potential development on the site to the settings of Martins Cottage and Summer Lane Cottage by virtue of the fact that these cottages are surrounded by later residential developments which effectively screen these settings off from the field assessment site. It was also considered that there could be a potential impact on the setting of Nyetimber House from proposed development here, though this potential impact was considered to be low [*ibid*].

5. Methodology

- 5.1 The archaeological evaluation was carried out at the development site on and between the 19th and the 24th of August 2016 by Christopher Pine and Neville Hall and Nathalie Barrett [surveyor] of Development Archaeology Services Ltd [DAS]. The investigation involved the mechanical excavation under archaeological supervision of thirty archaeological trial trenches [designated as **Trenches 1-30**] with Trenches 1-21 being excavated within the western portion of the survey area, which was designated as Area B with the remaining trenches comprising Trenches 22-30 being excavated within the eastern portion of the survey area, which was designated as Area A [**Figure 3**]. All of these trial trenches were excavated by a 14-ton 360° mechanical excavator that was equipped with a 2.0m wide toothless bucket.
- 5.2 The agreed DAS Written Scheme of Investigation for this archaeological evaluation had originally provided for the excavation under archaeological supervision of twenty-eight trial trenches each of 30.0m in length by 2.0m in width according to an agreed pattern and distribution within Areas A and B. This would in turn provide for a total site sample of

c1,680² by area of trenching, [the equivalent to approximately c.5% by area of the available development site]. The investigation of Thirty 30m X 2m trenches allowed for excavation / investigation of a total of 1,800.00m² of survey trenching. For location / distribution of trenches refer to [**Figure 3**].




Figure 3: Plan showing the layout of the archaeological trial trenches [1-30] in Survey Areas A [22-30] and B [1-21] Trenches all 30m x 2m = 60m². Total survey area = 1,800m².

- 5.3 In the final event, a total of thirty trial trenches were excavated to the agreed lengths of 30.0m and of 2.00m in width, thus exceeding the agreed sample by area. The contingency was not utilised.
- 5.4 Where necessary, surfaces were hand cleaned for examination and recording, and the observed deposit sequence in both Areas A and B were recorded on standard *pro-forma* context recording sheets. Plans of selected trial trenches were compiled on site at an original scale of 1:100. These are reproduced at that same scale on **Figures 4-6**. A total of ten representative sections [designated as **Representative Sections 1-10**] [with **Representative Sections 1-7** within Area B and **Representative Sections 8-10** within Area A, were also compiled on site at a scale of 1:20 and are reproduced at this same scale on **Figure 6**. A complimentary digital colour photographic record was also maintained, which are reproduced as **Plates 1-69, Appendix 1**. A list of contexts recorded is also provided in **Appendix 2** of this report.
- 5.5 All work was guided by the approved DAS Written Scheme of Investigation for this archaeological evaluation.

6. Results [Figures 4-6; Appendix 1, Plates 1-69]

All of the thirty archaeological trial trenches were excavated under archaeological supervision to a uniform length of 30.0m and to a uniform width of 2.0m. Of these Trenches 1-21 were excavated within Area B to the west and the remaining Trenches 22-20 being excavated in Area A to the east.

AREA B

6.1 Trench 1

- 6.1.1 **Trench 1** was orientated from north east-south west and was located at the south-western end of the survey area. **Trench 1** was excavated to depths of between 0.38m-0.50m below ground levels [**Plates 2-3**]. The removal of the topsoil horizon [**001**] revealed an underlying natural horizon of brick earths [**002**]. This deposit sequence was recorded within **Representative Section 1 [Plate 1]**. The traces of north-south orientated ridge and furrow along with two land drains were also identified within this trench.

6.2 Trench 2

- 6.2.1 **Trench 2** was situated to the north-east of **Trench 1** and was also aligned from north east-south-west. **Trench 2** was excavated to depths of between 0.44m-0.50m below ground levels [**Plates 4-5**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier within **Trench 1** was also identified within this Trench. The traces of north-south orientated ridge and furrow were also identified within this trench.

6.3 Trench 3

- 6.3.1 **Trench 3** was located to the north-east of **Trench 2** and was similarly orientated from north east-south west. **Trench 3** was excavated to depths of between 0.50m-0.55m below ground levels [**Plates 6-7**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench, which was recorded within **Representative Section 2** [**Plate 8**]. There was an absence of ridge and furrow in this trench. Two land drains were also identified within this trench.

6.4 Trench 4

- 6.4.1 **Trench 4** was positioned to the north-east of **Trench 3** and was also orientated from north east-south west. **Trench 4** was excavated to depths of between 0.48m-0.66m below ground levels [**Plates 9-10**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench. The traces of north-south orientated ridge and furrow were also identified within this trench. A land drain was also recorded within this trench.

6.5 Trench 5

- 6.5.1 **Trench 5** was situated to the north-east of **Trench 4** and was aligned from approximately north-south. **Trench 5** was excavated to depths of between 0.46m-0.48m below ground levels [**Plates 11-12**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench. The traces of north-south orientated ridge and furrow were also identified within this trench.

6.6 Trench 6

- 6.6.1 **Trench 6** was situated to the north of **Trench 5** and was orientated from east-west. **Trench 6** was excavated to depths of between 0.40m-0.55m below ground levels [**Plates 13-14**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench, which was recorded within **Representative Section 3** [**Plate 15**]. The traces of north-south orientated ridge and furrow were also identified within this trench.

6.7 Trench 7

- 6.7.1 **Trench 7** was located to the south-west of **Trenches 5 and 6** and to the north-east of **Trench 4**. **Trench 7** was aligned from north west-south east and was excavated to depths of between 0.42m-0.44m below ground levels. [**Plates 16-17**] The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench. There was an absence of ridge and furrow in this trench.

6.8 Trench 8

- 6.8.1 **Trench 8** was aligned from approximately north-south and was positioned to the south of **Trench 5** and to the south-east of **Trenches 4 and 7**. **Trench 8** was excavated to depths of between 0.48m-0.52m below ground levels [**Plates 18-19**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench. The traces of north-south orientated ridge and furrow were also identified within this trench.

6.9 Trench 9

- 6.9.1 **Trench 9** was situated to the south-west of **Trench 8** and was orientated from north east-south west. **Trench 9** was excavated to depths of between 0.46m-0.54m below ground levels [**Plates 20-21**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench, which was recorded within **Representative Section 4** [**Plate 22**]. One land drain was identified within this trench. There was also an absence of ridge and furrow in this trench.

6.10 Trench 10

- 6.10.1 **Trench 10** was positioned to the south-west of **Trench 9** and was aligned from north west-south east. **Trench 10** was excavated to depths of between 0.46m-0.48m below ground

levels [**Plates 23-24**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench. The traces of north-south orientated ridge and furrow were also identified within this trench along with one land drain.

6.11 Trench 11

6.11.1 **Trench 11** was situated to the west of **Trench 10** and was aligned from east-west. **Trench 11** was excavated to depths of between 0.50m-0.52m below ground levels [**Plates 25-26**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench, which was recorded within **Representative Section 5** [**Plate 27**]. The traces of north-south orientated ridge and furrow were also identified within this trench.

6.12 Trench 12

6.12.1 **Trench 12** was located to the west of **Trench 11** and to the south-east of **Trench 1**. **Trench 12** was orientated from north west-south east and was excavated to depths of between 0.50m-0.60m below ground levels [**Plates 28-29**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench. The traces of north-south orientated ridge and furrow were also identified within this trench.

Following the completion of the archaeological recording within **Trench 12**, very wet conditions were experienced which left the trenches very wet and consequently obscured any further detail of ridge and furrow in the remaining trial trenches within **Area B**.

6.13 Trench 13

6.13.1 **Trench 13** was situated to the north of **Trench 12**, to the east of **Trench 1** and to the south of **Trench 2**. **Trench 13** was excavated to depths of between 0.48m-0.54m below ground levels [**Plates 30-31**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench.

6.14 Trench 14

6.14.1 **Trench 14** was located to the east of **Trench 13**, to the north-east of **Trench 12**, to the north of **Trench 11** and to the north-west of **Trench 10**. **Trench 14** was orientated from north east-south west and was excavated to depths of between 0.50m-0.54m below ground

levels [**Plates 32-33**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench.

6.15 Trench 15

6.15.1 **Trench 15** was located to the north-east of **Trench 14** and to the south of **Trench 3**. **Trench 15** was excavated to depths of between 0.50m-0.54m below ground levels [**Plates 34-35**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench, which was recorded within **Representative Section 6** [**Plate 36**]. Two land drains were also recorded within this trench.

6.16 Trench 16

6.16.1 **Trench 16** was situated to the north of **Trench 15** and to the east of **Trench 3**. **Trench 16** was excavated to depths of between 0.55m-0.56m below ground levels [**Plates 37-38**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench.

6.17 Trench 17

6.17.1 **Trench 17** was positioned to the north-west of **Trench 9**, to the east of **Trench 15** and to the south-east of **Trench 16**. **Trench 17** was aligned from east-west and was excavated to depths of between 0.52m-0.55m below ground levels [**Plates 39-40**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench. A single land drain was also recorded within this trench.

6.18 Trench 18

6.18.1 **Trench 18** was located to the north of **Trench 17**, to the south-west of **Trench 8** and to the east of **Trench 16**. **Trench 18** was orientated from north west-south east and was excavated to depths of between 0.54m-0.64m below ground levels [**Plates 41-42**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench. A single land drain was also recorded within this trench.

6.19 Trench 19

6.19.1 **Trench 19** was situated to the north of **Trench 18**, to the west of **Trench 8**, to the south-east of **Trenches 4 and 7** and to the south of **Trench 5**. **Trench 19** was aligned from north east-south west and was excavated to depths of between 0.58m-0.64m below ground levels [**Plates 43-44**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench. Two intersecting land drains were also recorded within this trench.

6.20 Trench 20

6.20.1 **Trench 20** was positioned to the south of **Trench 4**, to the north of **Trench 16** and to the east of **Trenches 18 and 19**. **Trench 20** was orientated from approximately east-west and was excavated to depths of between 0.58m-0.62m below ground levels [**Plates 45-46**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench.

6.21 Trench 21

6.21.1 **Trench 21** was located to the north of **Trench 3** and to the west of **Trench 20**. **Trench 21** was aligned from north east-south west and was excavated to depths of between 0.55m-0.73m below ground levels [**Plate 47**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was also identified within this Trench, which was recorded within **Representative Section 7** [**Plate 48**].

AREA A

6.22 Trench 22

6.22.1 **Trench 22** was situated at the northern end of the survey area within **Area A**. **Trench 22** was orientated from north west-south east and was excavated to depths of between 0.40m-0.61m below ground levels [**Plates 49-50**]. The removal of the topsoil horizon [**100**] revealed an underlying natural horizon of brickearths [**101**], which was recorded within **Representative Section 8** [**Plate 51**].

6.23 Trench 23

6.23.1 **Trench 23** was situated to the south-east of **Trench 22**. **Trench 23** was orientated from approximately north-south and was excavated to depths of between 0.48m-0.58m below ground levels [**Plates 52-53**]. The trench was slightly dog-legged towards its southern end to avoid dense undergrowth. The same deposit sequence which was recorded previously in **Trench 22** was also noted within this trench.

6.24 Trench 24

6.24.1 **Trench 24** was situated to the south of **Trench 23** and was aligned from approximately north-south. **Trench 24** was excavated to depths of between 0.42m-0.48m below ground levels [**Plates 54-55**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was recorded within this trench in **Representative Section 9** [**Plate 56**]. One land drain was also recorded within this trench.

6.25 Trench 25

6.25.1 **Trench 25** was positioned to the east of **Trench 24** and was orientated from north east-south west. **Trench 25** was excavated to depths of between 0.42m-0.56m below ground levels [**Plates 57-58**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was recorded within this trench.

6.26 Trench 26

6.26.1 **Trench 26** was located to the south-east of **Trench 25** and was aligned from north east-south west. **Trench 26** was excavated to depths of between 0.40m-0.60m below ground levels [**Plates 59-60**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was recorded within this trench, which was recorded within **Representative Section 10** [**Plate 61**]. One modern feature was also recorded within this trench.

6.27 Trench 27

6.27.1 **Trench 27** was situated to the north-east of **Trenches 25 and 26**. **Trench 27** was orientated from approximately east-west and was excavated to depths of between 0.46m-0.52m below ground levels [**Plates 62-63**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was recorded within this trench. A single land drain was also noted within this trench.

6.28 Trench 28

6.28.1 **Trench 28** was positioned to the north of **Trench 27**. **Trench 28** was orientated from north west-south and was excavated to depths of between 0.50m-0.53m below ground levels [**Plates 64-65**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was recorded within this trench. A single land drain was also noted within this trench.

6.29 Trench 29

6.29.1 **Trench 29** was situated to the south of **Trench 22**, to the east of **Trench 23** and to the north-west of **Trench 28**. **Trench 29** was excavated to depths of between 0.44m-0.56m below ground levels [**Plates 66-67**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was recorded within this trench.

6.30 Trench 30

6.30.1 **Trench 30** was located to the south of **Trench 29**, to the east of **Trench 24**, to the north of **Trench 25** and to the west of **Trench 28**. **Trench 30** was excavated to depths of between 0.43m-0.55m below ground levels [**Plates 68-69**]. The same deposit sequence which was noted earlier was recorded within this trench.

7. Discussion and Conclusions

7.1 This archaeological evaluation provided a thorough and comprehensive sampling of both Area A and of Area B. A total of twenty-one archaeological trial trenches were excavated within Area B to the west and the remaining nine archaeological trial trenches within Area A to the east.

7.2 Within Area B, the findings from the archaeological assessment here comprised a simple deposit sequence which consisted of a topsoil horizon and an underlying natural horizon of brickearths. This sequence was recorded throughout this survey area within a total of seven representative sections. A moderate density of fragments of prehistoric fire cracked flint was noted within the brickearth horizons.

- 7.3 Other findings consisted of a number of 19th century land drains and the remains of modern north-south orientated ridge and furrow, which was recorded within Trenches 1-2, 4-6, 8 and 10-12. These remnants were recorded on the first day of the archaeological evaluation.
- 7.4 Within Area A, the findings from the archaeological assessment here comprised an identical simple deposit sequence which consisted of a topsoil horizon and an underlying natural horizon of brickearths. This sequence was recorded throughout this survey area within a total of a further three representative sections. A moderate density of fragments of prehistoric fire cracked flint was noted within the brickearth horizons. Other findings consisted of several further 19th century land drains.
- 7.5 No significant archaeological activity was identified with either Area B or within Area A.

8. Acknowledgements

- 8.1 Thanks are gratefully extended to Hanbury Properties Ltd. for commissioning this project.

9. Bibliography

British Geological Survey, [1984], Sheet Nos. 317/332, *Chichester & Bognor, Solid & Drift*, scale 1:50,000.


Hall, N., Pine., C., [2014], *An Archaeological Desk Based Assessment of Land to the North and South of Summer Lane, Pagham, West Sussex*. Development Archaeology Services Ltd client report.


Ordnance Survey, [2008], Explorer Map No. 120, *Chichester, South Harting & Selsey*, Scale 1:25,000.

Pine C., [2016], *Brief and Specification for an Archaeological Evaluation at Land to the North of Summer Lane, Pagham, West Sussex*. Development Archaeology Services Ltd.

Soil Survey of England & Wales, [1983], *Soils of South Eastern England*, Sheet 6, 1:250,000 scale.

Figure 4: Plans of Trenches 1-6 and 8-10 [original scale 1:100]


















Figure 6: Plans of Trenches 26-28 and representative Sections 1-10 at original scale of 1:10

Appendix 1: Plates

AREA B

Plans of **Trenches 1-6** and **8-10** at a scale of 1:100



Plate 1: Representative section 1, Trench 1, from the south-east



Plate 3: Trench 1, from the north-



Plate 2: Trench 1, from the south-west



Plate 4: Trench 2, from the south-west



Plate 5: Trench 2, from the north-east



Plate 7: Trench 3, from the north-east



Plate 6: Trench 3, from the south-west



Plate 8: Representative Section 2, Trench 3, from the south-east



Plate 9: Trench 4, from the south-west



Plate 11: Trench 5, from the south



Plate 10: Trench 4, from the north-east



Plate 12: Trench 5, from the north



Plate 13: Trench 6, from the east



Plate 14: Trench 6, from the west



Plate 15: Representative Section 3, Trench 6, from the north



Plate 16: Trench 7, from the north-west



Plate 17: Trench 7, from the south-east



Plate 19: Trench 8, from the south



Plate 18: Trench 8, from the north



Plate 20: Trench 9, from the north-east



Plate 21: Trench 9, from the south-west



Plate 23: Trench 10, from the south-west



Plate 22: Representative Section 4, Trench 9, from the south-east



Plate 24: Trench 10, from the north-east



Plate 25: Trench 11, from the east



Plate 27: Representative Section 5, Trench 11, from the south.



Plate 26: Trench 11, from the west



Plate 28: Trench 12, from the south-east



Plate 29: Trench 12, from the north-west



Plate 31: Trench 13, from the north



Plate 30: Trench 13, from the south



Plate 32: Trench 14, from the south-west



Plate 33: Trench 14, from the north-east



Plate 35: Trench 15, from the north-west



Plate 34: Trench 15, from the south-east



Plate 36: Representative Section 6, Trench 15, from the north-east



Plate 37: Trench 16, from the south



Plate 39: Trench 17, from the west



Plate 38: Trench 16, from the north



Plate 40: Trench 17, from the east



Plate 41: Trench 18, from the south-east



Plate 43: Trench 19, from the south-west



Plate 42: Trench 18, from the north-west



Plate 44: Trench 19, from the north-east



Plate 45: Trench 20, from the west



Plate 47: Trench 21, from the south-west



Plate 46: Trench 20, from the east



Plate 48: Representative Section 7, Trench 21, from the north-west

AREA A



Plate 49: Trench 22, from the north-



Plate 50: Trench 22, from the south-east



Plate 51: Representative Section 8, Trench 22, from the north



Plate 52: Trench 23, from the north



Plate 53: Trench 23, from the south



Plate 55: Trench 24, from the south



Plate 54: Trench 24, from the north



Plate 56: Representative Section 9, Trench 24, from the west



Plate 57: Trench 25, from the south-west



Plate 59: Trench 26, from the south-west



Plate 58: Trench 25, from the north-east



Plate 60: Trench 26, from the north-east



Plate 61: Representative Section 10, Trench 26, from the south-east



Plate 63: Trench 27, from the west



Plate 62: Trench 27, from the east



Plate 64: Trench 28, from the south-east



Plate 65: Trench 28, from the north-west



Plate 67: Trench 29, from the south-west



Plate 66: Trench 29, from the north-east



Plate 68: Trench 30, from the north



Plate 69: Trench 30, from the south

Appendix 2: List of Contexts

AREA B

- 001** Topsoil horizon. Soft, mid orange brown silt with moderate small angular and rounded stones. Above [002]. Recorded within **Representative Sections 1-7**. Depth - 0.42m.
- 002** Natural horizon of brickearths. Soft, light orange brown silt with gravel spreads, small fragments and flecking of manganese 1%, moderate small fragments of fire cracked flint and occasional small angular stones. Below [001]. Recorded within **Representative Sections 1-7**. Depth - >0.19m.

AREA A

- 100** Topsoil horizon. Soft, mid orange brown silt with moderate small-medium angular stones and flint fragments and occasional small brick/tile fragments. Above [101]. Recorded within **Representative Sections 8-10**. Depth - 0.31m.
- 101** Natural horizon of brickearths. Soft, light orange brown silt with gravel spreads and moderate small fragments of fire cracked flint and small angular stones and flint fragments. Below [100]. Recorded within **Representative Sections 8-10**. Depth - >0.14m.