

## Nicola Oktay

---

**From:** Nicola Swann [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 29 January 2025 13:08  
**To:** Planning.Responses  
**Subject:** Pagham Responses

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organisation. You should take extra care when clicking links or opening attachments - if you are unsure the content is safe contact the IT Helpdesk before clicking or opening.

Dear Planning team

Pagham Parish Council considered the following applications at a meeting of its Planning Committee held on 28<sup>th</sup> January 2025 and would like to make the following comments:

**P/120/24/HH** – 28 Drift Road Pagham PO21 3NS. Single storey rear extension and removal of existing rear dormer, with new rooflight to replace existing dormer. It was RESOLVED: NO OBJECTION

**P/1/25/HH** – 110 Harbour View Road Pagham PO21 4RJ. Rear single storey extension. It was RESOLVED: OBJECT. Members felt the proposed development would lead to overdevelopment of the site and was unneighbourly. There were also concerns around the area of undeveloped garden available to provide a soakaway to deal with surface water.

**P/5/25/T** – 20 Spinnaker View Pagham PO21 3FL. 1No poplar (T1) reduction of branches on the southern aspect to leave a height of 18m and spread of 8m. It was RESOLVED: NO OBJECTION.

**P/130/24/DOC** – Land South of Summer Lane and West of Pagham Road Pagham – approval of details reserved by condition imposed under reference P/140/16/OUT relating to condition number 4 – detailed scheme of Phasing and condition number 17 – Construction Management Plan.

It was RESOLVED to submit the following comments to Arun District Council

The Council is very disappointed to see that the operating hours of this site will be 7am to 6pm Mon-Fri and 8am-1pm Saturday. We believe this is excessive, particularly the weekday early start. Many residents in the area of the development are retired and do not start their day at 7am. We appreciate that condition 18 sets these parameters, however our experience at other developments in Pagham shows that whilst work may commence at 7am there will be deliveries waiting in the road outside the site with engines running prior to 7am. Workers arriving at the site will create noise and disturbance. The Hook Lane development site does not start work until 8am. We ask the developer to have consideration for local residents and amend the start time for the site.

The Parish Council has concerns around the potential for parking disruption in the local area. The Hall is a busy, valuable community asset and we would like to see specific reference to the Village Hall in the CMP and an assurance that workers will be told that the Hall carpark is EXCLUSIVELY for users of the Hall and that parking there is not permitted.

There is a reference to an access from Church Lane on p10 of the document – this should be removed. There is no access from Church Lane.

Condition 17 requires the CMP to include a soil resources plan. The proposals provided are a concern. Residents' experiences of the recent development in Summer Lane show what can happen where this is not prescribed at outset, where land has been raised and flooding issues have resulted which are still not resolved. The soil resources plan should be more specific as to how soil will be reused and when it will be removed, plus maximum storage heights. Other developers have referenced DEFRA guidance on soil use on construction sites – why can this developer not do the same?

Best wishes

*Nicola*

Nicola Swann  
Clerk & RFO  
Pagham Parish Council

