




Dear Mr Spring,

I would like to make objections to the Consultation made by the Conservation Officer on Application P/18/20/PL , put up on 4th May 2020. It is tragic that our Conservation Officer is saying because he considers the harm to the existing setting has already been done, that it is acceptable to finish it off completely by building 4 ultra-modern terraced houses on what is left of vitally important historic setting land and invaluable amenity space, which I strongly believe as a Conservation Officer, he should be protecting.

He has made no mention or referred to the legally binding Highways Agreement on the proposed land signed and dated 26th August 1969, which clearly states '*the land must be retained as amenity space and to conserve the historical setting*'. He has also completely contradicted the findings of Arun's successful Appeal [APP/C3810/W/15/3140815](#) in 2016, which is in my humble opinion, a mirror image of what a Developer is now attempting to do.

I am struggling to understand how the Officer could come to such a conclusion. This block of black mass terraced houses has no place whatsoever in the environment and setting that seemingly, as far as the Conservation Officer is concerned, is suitable.

Yours sincerely
Nigel Rawlins

