

Recommendation Report for Works to Tree(s) on trees subject to a Tree Preservation Order (TPO)

REF NO: P/152/21/T

LOCATION: 17 Boleyn Drive
Pagham
PO21 3LG

PROPOSAL: 2 No. Lombardy Poplar trees - reduce by 3-4m to previous cutting points to leave height 8m and spread 1.5m and Crown thin by 20%.

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION	As above
TREES	The trees are located in the rear garden of the property.
SITE CHARACTERISTICS	Dwelling.
CHARACTER OF LOCALITY	Residential.

RELEVANT SITE HISTORY

P/90/19/T	Reduce crowns of 2 No. Lombardy Poplars by 4-5m & crown thin by 20% on main stems.	ApproveConditionally 03-12-19
P/38/16/T	Reduce height of 2 No. Lombardy Poplar trees by 10m	ApproveConditionally 09-06-16
P/67/10/T	Reduce height of 2no. Lombardy Poplars to 10 metres, with additional side reduction by 0.5 metres.	ApproveConditionally 05-08-10
P/111/08/T	Reduce height of two Lombardy Poplars in rear garden	ApproveConditionally 06-11-08
P/95/04/T	Lopping and topping of two Lombardy Poplar trees	ApproveConditionally 10-09-04
P/58/03/T	Lopping and topping of two Lombardy Poplar trees to achieve a reduction in height of approximately 1/3rd	ApproveConditionally 18-06-03

P/32/99/T	Fell one leylandii	ApproveConditionally 03-06-99
P/39/96/T	Fell one Cupressus Leylandi tree	Refused 27-08-96
P/64/93/T	Reduce the height of two lombardy poplars and one cupressus leylandii	Refused 01-11-93

Relevant planning history noted.

REPRESENTATIONS

Pagham Parish Council - No Objection.

No representation received from nearby occupiers.

COMMENTS ON REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED:

Noted.

CONSULTATIONS

CONSULTATION RESPONSES RECEIVED:

Tree Officer

I have considered this application by means of a desktop review, using the information and plans submitted as well as online resources. Previous consideration from site and familiarity with surrounding area provides further insight to the proposal.

They grow at the rear of the property, part of a linear group of the same type spanning several properties. They are visible from surrounding properties and seen in part from public highway. As such, they have some public amenity value despite being aesthetically diminished by severe truncation of their main stems at approx. 8m height.

Repeat operations such as now being asked for by the applicant will be necessary throughout the remaining lifespan of these trees, to achieve a reasonable degree of structural integrity appropriate for their location.

Conclusions:

The proposal to reduce their overall height and spread with a view to restricting growth is justified and consistent with previous works approved by the Local Planning Authority.

While a temporary loss of aesthetic value is inevitable, satisfactory canopy renewal and recovery should occur if the work is undertaken to a high standard and at an appropriate time of year.

Recommendation:

I recommend CONSENT for this application, subject to the following condition.

COMMENTS ON CONSULTATION RESPONSES:

Comments noted

POLICY CONTEXT

Built up area boundary

TPO

Pagham Harbour Zone B

2km Buffer for Site of Special Scientific Interest

DEVELOPMENT PLAN POLICIES

PLANNING POLICY GUIDANCE:

NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance

POLICY COMMENTARY

The above policies are general and, other than online Planning Policy Guidance under references ID 36-090-20140306 and ID 36-091-20140306, are not specific to trees.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND/OR LEGISLATIVE BACKGROUND

Section 198 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 states:

"If it appears to a Local Planning authority that it is expedient in the interest of amenity to make provision for the preservation of trees.... they may for that purpose make an order"

The works to the trees are to be judged having regard to amenity

OTHER MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS

It is considered that there are/are no other material considerations to be weighed in the balance with the Development Plan.

CONCLUSIONS

Following the comments from the Tree Officer, it is recommended consent be approved, subject to the following conditions and informatives.

HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

The Council in making a decision should be aware of and take into account any implications that may arise from the Human Rights Act 1998. Under the Act, it is unlawful for a public authority such as Arun District Council to act in a manner, which is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights.

Consideration has been specifically given to Article 8 (right to respect private and family life) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (protection of property). It is not considered that the recommendation for approval of the grant of permission in this case interferes unreasonably with any local residents' right to respect for their private and family life and home, except insofar as it is necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others (in this case, the rights of the applicant). The Council is also permitted to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest and the recommendation for approval is considered to be a proportionate response to the submitted application based on the considerations set out in this report.

DUTY UNDER THE EQUALITIES ACT 2010

Duty under the Equalities Act 2010

In assessing this proposal the following impacts have been identified upon those people with the following protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation).

The proposal would have a neutral impact on the protected characteristics.

RECOMMENDATION

APPROVE CONDITIONALLY

- 1 Where whole branches are to be removed and final cuts made close to the trunk or branch union they are to be made as shown in Figure 2 of BS3998:2010

Where branches are to be shortened back the final cuts are to be made at the correct angle shown in BS3998:2010 and adjacent to a live bud or lateral.

The removal of crown material which has developed since the last operation must be done sympathetically and with respect to established 'knuckles' so as not to extend beyond the point of origin.

Reasons: In the interests of the trees' continued health and vitality and to accord with current industry guidelines and sound arboricultural practice.

- 2 INFORMATIVE: The long-term vitality of these trees is best respected when significant pruning work such as proposed, is undertaken during the mid-winter (late-December to early-February) period.
- 3 INFORMATIVE: The applicant should note that under Part 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, with only a few exceptions, it is an offence for any person to intentionally take, damage or destroy the nest of any wild birds while the nest is in use or being built. Birds nest between March and September and therefore removal of dense bushes, ivy or trees or parts of trees etc. during this period could lead to an offence under the act.
- 4 INFORMATIVE: This notice does not give authority to destroy or damage a bat roost or disturb a bat. Bat species are protected under Section 39 of the 1994 Conservation (Natural Habitats etc) Regulations (as amended), the 1981 Wildlife and Countryside Act (as amended) and the 2000 Countryside and Rights of Way Act. It is illegal to damage or destroy any bat roost, whether occupied or not, or disturb or harm a bat. If you are aware that bats roost in a tree(s) for which work is planned, you should take further advice from Natural England (via the Bat Conservation Trust on 0345 1300228) or an ecological consultant before you start. If bats are discovered during the work, you must stop immediately and contact Natural England before

continuing.